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INTRODUCTION

Burns are one of the most common and devastating forms of trauma. Patients with serious thermal injury require immediate specialized care in order to minimize morbidity and mortality. Data from the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control in the United States show that approximately 2 million fires are reported each year which result in 1.2 million people with burn injuries (7, 318, 319, 369). Moderate to severe burns injuries requiring hospitalization account for approximately 100,000 of these cases, and about 5,000 patients die each year from burn-related complications (7, 8, 215, 318, 319, 369). In Canada, the estimated numbers of burn victims and deaths in serious cases are proportionally smaller on a per capita basis (265, 349, 403).

The survival rates for burn patients have improved substantially in the past few decades due to advances in modern medical care in specialized burn centers. Improved outcomes for severely burned patients have been attributed to medical advances in fluid resuscitation, nutritional support, pulmonary care, burn wound care, and infection control practices. As a result, burn-related deaths, depending on the extent of injury, have been halved within the past 40 years (7, 252, 320, 369, 373, 439). In patients with severe burns over more than 40% of the total body surface area (TBSA), 75% of all deaths are currently related to sepsis from burn wound infection or other infection complications and/or inhalation injury (15, 20, 24, 32, 140).

This review focuses on modern aspects of the epidemiology, diagnosis, management, and prevention of burn wound infections and sepsis. Recent factors contributing to the development of burn wound infection are also discussed, including the nature and extent of the burn injury itself and the secondary immunosuppression resulting from thermal injury. The prevention of burn wound infection is reviewed in the context of newer therapeutic strategies employed by specialized burn care facilities.

HUMAN SKIN—A MAJOR HOST DEFENSE

An intact human skin surface is vital to the preservation of body fluid homeostasis, thermoregulation, and the host’s protection against infection. The skin also has immunological, neurosensory, and metabolic functions such as vitamin D metabolism. Thermal injury creates a breach in the surface of the skin. A basic knowledge of skin anatomy and physiology is required to understand emergency burn assessment and approaches to burn care (96, 114, 469).

Figure 1 provides a schematic representation of the skin layers in relation to the depth of burn injury (96, 113, 369). The skin is derived from ectoderm and mesoderm and has two anatomic layers: the epidermis or outermost nonvascular layer consists of several layers of epidermal cells that vary in thickness over various body surfaces, and the dermis or corium is largely made of collagen and contains the microcirculation, a complex vascular plexus of arterioles, venules, and capillaries. The two skin layers are bound together by a complex mechanism that is essential for normal function. Epidermal appendages are distributed throughout the dermis layer, including the sweat glands, sebaceous glands, and hair follicles. The dermal layer is capable of producing new epithelial cells to replace those lost from the epidermis by burning or other injury to the skin because the shafts of these appendages are lined with epithelial cells. Nerve endings occur throughout both skin lay-

FIG. 1. Basic skin anatomy, showing the depth of injury for first-, second-, and third-degree burns. (Adapted from reference 369 with permission of the publisher.)
ers, and the connective tissue of the dermis also provides a firm structural base for the skin. Burn injury is a very painful form of trauma because of the multitude of pain receptors and nerves that traverse the skin layers. Beneath the skin lie the subcutaneous tissues, muscle, and bone.

The skin is one of the largest organs in the human body, in terms of both its overall size and weight. In an adult male the skin weighs between 6 and 10 kg (~13 and 22 lbs). The average adult skin surface area is 1.5 to 2.0 square meters, in contrast to that of a newborn, whose skin surface area is only 0.2 to 0.3 square meter. The two skin layers together are up to several millimeters thick, but both epidermal and dermal thickness varies depending on the body site. The epidermis is the thinnest (0.05 mm) over the eyelid but thicker (up to 1 mm) over the soles of the feet (114, 369). The dermis is thickest on the back. Males generally have thicker skin than females. General skin thickness peaks in midlife and gradually thins as part of the aging process (113, 114, 216, 217, 369). Infants, young children, and elderly adults have a much thinner dermal layer to their skin, resulting in an increased propensity for deeper burn injury. Epidermal cells are constantly being shed and replaced every month through a process that continually pushes new cells to the surface. This natural process is designed to continually replenish and heal breaches in the outermost protective skin barrier, be it from the microtraumas sustained as part of daily living or from overt injury. The epidermis therefore heals itself after superficial injury.

Several important physiological functions of the skin are altered by thermal injury. Survival of the severely burned patient requires immediate access to a specialized burn care unit. Modern emergency burn resuscitation and ongoing treatment are designed to alleviate the systemic changes that result from acute disruption of a large part of the skin barrier. Meticulous attention is given to the replacement and prevention of fluid loss, the maintenance of body temperature homeostasis within a constant normal range, the easing of severe pain, and the prevention of infection.

BURN INJURY IN CIVILIANS

Magnitude and Risk Factors of Civilian Burn Injury

In North America, burn injury is one of the main causes of injury deaths, particularly in children under the age of 14 years (7, 68–70, 318, 320, 349). Although the age-adjusted death rate from burn injury in the United States has decreased substantially since 1985, the United States still has one of the highest per capita burn death rates of any industrialized country (318, 320). Between 1993 and 1995, there were 18.7 burn-related deaths per million population in the United States, compared with 15 for Canada and 5.5 for Switzerland (318, 344, 349). The highest fatality rates occur among children 4 years of age or younger and adults over the age of 55 years (252, 318, 320, 457). Burn-related deaths in these two age groups account for more than two-thirds of all fire deaths. Males are twice as likely to die of burn-related injury as females in all age groups.

Adult burn injury may also result from an industrial or work-related accident or occur as a result of suicide attempts, assault, and unintentional injury due to alcohol and/or drug use (32, 211, 265, 332, 368). A significant proportion of adult burn patients also suffer from a high degree of mental illness (344). Since legal action is taken in many of these cases, it is important to document the etiology and extent of the burn injury.

Burn injuries incur a significant cost to the health care system in North America and worldwide. In the United States and Canada there are currently 167 centers specializing in burn care, with over 2,000 beds (369). Although the overall hospitalization rates from less-serious burn injuries have declined by 50% since 1971, the proportion of patients admitted to burn centers has increased (7, 369). Recent estimates in the United States show that 45,000 patients are admitted to acute-care hospitals annually with burn injuries, and in approximately 50% of these cases the extent of thermal injury is severe enough to warrant admission to a specialized burn center (7, 68–70, 369). Burn care centers in North America currently admit an average of more than 200 patients per year, whereas other hospital units admit an average of fewer than five burn patients per year (7, 369).

Initial hospitalization costs and physicians’ fees for specialized care of a patient with a major burn injury are currently estimated to be US$200,000 (292, 318, 369). Overall, costs escalate for major burn cases because of repeated admissions for reconstruction and rehabilitation therapy. In the United States, current annual estimates show that more than US$18 billion is spent on specialized care of patients with major burn injuries (292, 318, 369).

Pathogenesis and Etiology of Burns

The breached skin barrier is the hallmark of thermal injury. The body tries to maintain homeostasis by initiating a process of contraction, retraction, and coagulation of blood vessels immediately after a burn injury. Three distinct zones have been defined within the burn wound: (i) the zone of coagulation, which comprises the dead tissues that form the burn eschar that is located at the center of the wound nearest to the heat source; (ii) the zone of stasis, which comprises tissues adjacent to the area of burn necrosis that is still viable but at risk for ongoing ischemic damage due to decreased perfusion; and (iii) the zone of hyperemia, which comprises normal skin with minimal cellular injury that has predominant vasodilation and increased blood flow as a response to injury (Fig. 2) (163, 195, 369). Serious thermal injury causes total loss of the skin surface over large areas of the body. Because of the importance of the skin as a barrier to microbial host invasion, it is not surprising that the risk of subsequent burn wound infection and systemic infection correlates with the size of the burn injury (377, 387).

Thermal injury. Direct contact with flame, a hot surface or hot liquid (scald), or a source of heat conduction, convection, or radiation causes a degree of cellular damage to the skin that varies with the temperature and duration of exposure (21, 179, 222, 232, 299, 352, 355, 369). As the temperature rises, increasing molecular collisions occur, resulting in altered molecular conformation and the disruption of intermolecular bonds. This process leads to cell membrane dysfunction as ion channels are disrupted, resulting in sodium and water intake. As the temperature rises further, protein denaturation occurs, oxygen radicals are liberated, and eventually cells die with the formation of the burn eschar (299).
Chemical injury. Chemical interaction may also damage protein structures. A classification system that was described in 1974 and remains in use groups chemicals according to their mode of action (Table 1) (9, 56, 57, 74, 223, 305).

Extent and Location of Burn Injury

A burn patient is a trauma patient. The initial assessment and resuscitation are therefore focused on the patient’s airway, breathing, and circulation and an examination for other major injuries besides the burn itself. Assessment of the burn injury should include a determination of the etiology of the burn as well as the extent of the burn injury. Although the assessment of the extent and depth of all types of burn injury is clinically difficult, chemical injuries are particularly challenging. The severity of injury is not only related to the areas and sites of skin injury, but also depends on the chemical agent and the duration of exposure. In these cases, morbidity may be high even with small areas of injury, such as alkali injury to the eye, with inhalation of vapors such as anhydrous ammonia due to the systemic effects from absorption (9, 56).

Subjective clinical methods have historically been used to determine the depth of burn injury. Body diagrams provide an estimate of the percentage of total body surface area (%TBSA) of the burn exposure and injury and document a patient’s initial and clinical ongoing assessment in this regard (202, 369). Areas of partial and full-thickness burn injury are described, noting areas of circumferential involvement and burn injury across joints. Figures 3 and 4 outline a schematic assessment of %TBSA for adult and pediatric burn patients, respectively, using the rule of nines. A Berkow’s percentage chart can also be used to obtain a more accurate estimate of %TBSA (40).

However, the clinical methods outlined above may not provide sufficient accuracy of evaluation of burn depth to support crucial treatment decisions such as the extent of excision and grafting required. Laser Doppler imaging (LDI) has recently been shown to provide a more objective measurement on which to base the decision to operate (25, 199, 224). A recent prospective blinded trial compared the clinical outcome of using LDI versus clinical judgment to assess injury depth. A total of 23 burn patients and 41 wounds were analyzed by both methods. LDI and the surgeon agreed on determination of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Example(s)</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reducing agents</td>
<td>Hydrochloric acid</td>
<td>Bind free electrons in tissue proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidizing agents</td>
<td>Sodium hypochlorite</td>
<td>Oxidized on contacting proteins producing toxic by-products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosive agents</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>Denatures tissue proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protoplastic poisons</td>
<td>Hydrofluoric acid</td>
<td>Bind calcium or other ions essential to cell function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicants</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulforide</td>
<td>Ischemia with anoxic necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desiccants</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Dehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muriatic acid</td>
<td>Exothermic reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are from references 9, 56, 57, 74, 223, and 305.
Wound depth only 56% of the time \( (P = 0.031) \) (224). LDI agreed with wound biopsy confirmation when the scan indicated a need for excision. LDI also enabled excision to proceed earlier even when the surgeon’s clinical assessment agreed with the LDI scan results. LDI may therefore be used as an effective aid to clinical judgment in modern burn centers for deciding to excise burn wounds of indeterminate depth.

**Inhalation Injury**

Inhalation injury occurs in anywhere from 3 to 21% of burn patients and is a major cause of mortality; 80% of fire-related deaths occur from hypoxia due to oxygen deprivation or from inhalation of the toxins found in smoke (15, 32, 43, 342, 425, 438). Inhalation injury of the lung in adults is usually proportional to the depth and extent of body surface area burned (252, 279, 342, 440). Children have a lower rate of inhalation injury because of the prominence of scald injury in this group (200, 320). Hypoxia occurs when the carbon monoxide generated by combustion is inhaled and binds to hemoglobin. Even a relatively low concentration of carbon monoxide in inhaled air can be significant because its affinity for hemoglobin is much greater than that of oxygen (e.g., 200-fold). Hydrogen cyanide and other agents generated in smoke are potent toxins and exacerbate the acidosis that occurs as a result of burn injury (43, 275).

The pathogenesis of pulmonary injury from smoke inhalation has been well described (99–102, 201, 203, 433). Direct heat injury is restricted to the upper airway above the glottis and is manifested by rapid swelling with the threat of obstruction. Steam inhalation is the only type of heat that damages the lower respiratory tract. However, inhalation of smoke and products of combustion and destruction of the tracheobronchial respiratory epithelium cause chemical injury. Inhalation injury progresses during the first few days following a burn and results in edema and sloughing of the respiratory tract mucosa and impairment of the normal mucociliary clearance mechanism. Damage of the mucociliary lining of the respiratory tract decreases the clearance of invading microorganisms. Pulmonary edema results from direct microvascular injury and the release of oxygen free radicals and inflammatory mediators. Cast formation due to aggregates of mucus and cellular debris causes obstruction of moderate-size airways when the mucosa sloughs. Disruption of endothelial and epithelial integrity results in exudation of protein-rich plasma into terminal airways, which, in combination with atelectasis, leads to bacterial growth and the subsequent development of pneumonia. Smoke inhalation also destroys type II pneumocytes, which results in impaired surfactant production (15, 251, 411).

Advances in respiratory resuscitation support in trauma intensive care units have improved the prognosis for burn patients with inhalation injury (15, 104, 139, 275). Inhalation injury should be suspected if the patient was burned in an enclosed space, has facial burns, and/or develops progressive hoarseness or stridor or a cough productive of carbonaceous sputum. The clinical effects of thermal inhalation injury typically become manifest within a few hours after injury, whereas chemical injury of the lower respiratory tract progresses more slowly (e.g., 1 to 2 days) (101, 322). Stridor that develops immediately after heat injury associated with an increased respiratory rate, worsening hypoxemia, and trouble expectorating secretions are signs of worsening edema of the upper airway (e.g., glottis), and immediate airway intubation is required to maintain patency (15, 95, 275). Similar signs of impending respiratory failure also develop in burn patients with a smoke inhalation injury and require immediate respiratory resuscitation. Intubation and mechanical ventilation as well as intensive tracheobronchial care (e.g., regular airway suctioning and therapeutic bronchoscopy) are required to assist clearance of bronchial mucus and debris (275, 312). High-frequency ventilation...
may also be beneficial in the clearance of secretions and also stabilizes collapsed and diseased lung segments (104, 275).

Patients with inhalation injury have greater fluid requirements than those who have only sustained a cutaneous injury. More fluid must be given in the immediate period following thermal injury in patients with inhalation injury (208, 369). Various agents have been administered, including inhaled heparin along with bronchodilators or free-radical scavenging agents such as dimethyl sulfoxide or N-acetylcysteine, in the treatment of inhalation injury in order to decrease cast formation and small-airway obstruction (15, 61, 229, 275). Nitric oxide is a potent vasodilator that has recently been administered as inhalation therapy to burn patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome due to lung injury in order to reduce ventilation-perfusion mismatch by dilating blood vessels permeating lung alveoli (15, 122).

**Early Excision and Burn Wound Closure**

Prior to the widespread use of early surgical excision of burn wounds, conservative management was practiced. Colonization of the burn wound was permitted to break down the burn eschar so that it separated spontaneously. Daily cleansing and immersion hydrotherapy were used to debride necrotic surface eschar (49, 73, 113, 216, 385). Skin grafting occurred only after the development of granulation tissue on the burn wound’s surface.

Although early surgical excision and grafting have been repeatedly attempted in the 20th century, the outcomes were initially poor (218, 219, 295, 296). However, an improved understanding of the pathophysiology of burns allowed the advancement of multiple intra- and postoperative medical and surgical techniques that has resulted in gradual decreases in morbidity and mortality (66, 87, 127, 181, 196, 200, 470). Medical support to maintain hemodynamic and respiratory function within the trauma intensive care unit and operating theater, the provision of early adequate nutrition, and the use of surgical techniques that minimize blood and heat loss allowed this approach to become the standard of care for large thermal injuries in modern burn centers.

Early burn wound excision now occurs within the first few days after burn injury and has resulted in improved survival (30, 127, 170, 185, 196, 200, 253, 334, 390, 429). Full-thickness and deep partial-thickness wounds are excised as soon after injury as possible once the patient has been hemodynamically stabilized. An appropriate burn care plan that includes a surgical timeline for wound closure must be developed based on the age of the patients and their clinical condition and extent of burn injury. A more conservative surgical approach may be required for patients with severe inhalation lung injury on ventilator support, the elderly, and those with underlying medical conditions that increase the risk of operation (202, 217, 457).

The primary aims of early excision are removal of the dead tissue that stimulates an overwhelming systemic inflammatory response syndrome and prevention of infection by temporary or permanent closure of the burn wound. Furthermore, shortening the period of wound inflammation, which in turn reduces the development of hypertrophic scarring, may optimize the outcome in terms of function and appearance (12, 97, 398). This is achieved by early removal of necrotic tissue (e.g., eschar) and wound closure with autograft, allograft, or skin substitutes in selected patients (15, 66, 196, 286, 390, 470).

Surgical excision of the burn wound may be carried out in a variety of ways, but the two most common methods are excision to fascia and tangential excision, whereby the eschar is removed in layers until viable tissue is reached (195, 286, 295, 296). The extent of excision at any one operation is limited by factors such as blood loss and temperature control. Usually no more than 20% of the burned area is excised during any single procedure (66, 195, 286, 297, 351, 369, 430). The open wound is usually covered with autograft, fresh allograft, or frozen allograft, in descending order of preference (297, 369). In otherwise healthy adults with burns, this process is repeated during several successive operative procedures until the entire burn wound has undergone debridement and secondary covering with new skin grafts. However, skin substitutes may be used for resurfacing in burn patients who have limited skin graft donor sites because of the extent of the injury (52, 53, 198, 225, 230, 293, 460).

Biobrane, a bilaminar temporary skin substitute, has been used in burn treatment centers since the early 1980s (28, 98, 231). Biobrane has recently been shown to be as effective as 1% silver sulfadiazine topical antibiotic therapy in the treatment of pediatric partial-thickness burns. Application of Biobrane in the immediate (e.g., 24 h after injury) postburn period decreased the children’s pain, pain medication requirements, wound healing time, and length of hospital stay. However, older wounds and those with large areas of full-thickness injury may not be suitable for Biobrane treatment.

**IMMUNOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO BURN INJURY**

Significant thermal injuries induce a state of immunosuppression that predisposes burn patients to infectious complications. Early observations of the immunodeficiency that follows thermal injury were linked to works on “burn toxins” published by Wertheim, Avdakoff, and Sevitt (17, 384, 455). More recently, these observations have been supported by the findings of prolonged allograft survival, anergy, and increased susceptibility to infection in burn patients (75, 227, 324, 402, 405, 462). Despite improvements in the early care of burn patients, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, severe sepsis, and multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome remain major causes of morbidity and mortality (47, 194, 382). As a result, further efforts in the development of immune modulators may hold some promise for the future pending ongoing research.

Host defense against infection can be divided into innate and adaptive immune responses. The innate immune response acts immediately after the integument system is breached and relies on a phylogenetically ancient system for microbial recognition in which germ line-encoded receptors (pattern recognition receptors) recognize structural components of microorganisms and viruses (pathogen-associated molecular patterns) (412). The adaptive immune response often takes longer, especially if it involves exposure to new antigens. However, the adaptive immune response is a more efficient system for dealing with recurrent infections, relying on immune cell memory, antigen recognition, and clonal proliferation. The immunosup-
pression associated with burn injuries has effects on both of these systems.

Many in vitro and in vivo studies have been conducted to characterize the immune responses and the relationships between various cell types and inflammatory mediators. Several reviews have been written on the topic, discussing the findings of original works in more detail (82, 173, 194, 244, 412). This review is a synthesis of summarized data and original research that have contributed to our current understanding of the immune response following burn injury.

**Systemic Response to Burn Injury**

Local inflammation following injury is essential for wound healing and host defense against infection. However, trauma or burns of sufficient magnitude can incite a systemic inflammatory response, along a continuum from systemic inflammatory response syndrome through septic shock, which has the ability to cause significant cellular and end-organ damage (46, 47). Initially, the immunologic response to severe burn injury is proinflammatory but later becomes predominately anti-inflammatory in an effort to maintain homeostasis and restore normal physiology. Cytokines and cellular responses mediate both of these phases.

**Inflammatory response to burn injury.** Increased serum levels of proinflammatory cytokines characterize the systemic response to burns. Interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor alpha are produced by a wide variety of cells in response to injury, which leukocytes are key players. Both of these cytokines contribute to the production of fever, acute-phase proteins, and an overall state of catabolism. They also up-regulate the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL-6, and platelet-activating factor by endothelial cells and macrophages (80, 454). Levels of IL-6 are increased after injury through its production by a number of different cells (1, 42). Like IL-1β and tumor necrosis factor alpha, IL-6 induces fever and the production of acute-phase reactants, and an overall state of catabolism. They also up-regulate the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL-6, and platelet-activating factor by endothelial cells and macrophages (80, 454).

**Anti-inflammatory response to burn injury.** The anti-inflammatory response and the subsequent immunosuppression following burn injury are characterized by a set of opposing cell types and cytokines. The production and release of monocytes/macrophages are decreased following burn injury and sepsis (151). Under these circumstances, macrophages produce increased amounts of PGE2 and decreased amounts of IL-12, which have a cooperative effect on T-cell differentiation (82, 166). T helper cells begin to preferentially differentiate into Th-2 cells, which produce the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4 and IL-10 (107, 167).

The exact sequence of events that result in immunosuppression following burn injury remains unknown; however, biochemical changes that may affect the immune system include those to the endocrine system, the arachidonic acid cascade, and the cytokine network. Following severe burn injury, there is an increase in the levels of vasopressin, aldosterone, growth hormone, cortisol, glucagon, and catecholamines (362, 454). Elevated levels of glucocorticoids inhibit the production of IFN-γ and IL-2, but not IL-4 and IL-10 (132, 353, 454). Similarly, norepinephrine released early after injury inhibits Th-1 cell function, but not that of Th-2 cells (376). Increased production of PGE2 by inhibitory macrophages has been observed after severe injury (454). PGE2 may have an important role in secondary immunosuppression, as it has been shown to decrease lymphocyte proliferation, to decrease the levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-2, to diminish the response to IL-2, to inhibit the activity of NK cells, and to activate suppressor T cells (4, 167). Many of the changes in cytokine levels represent alterations of the adaptive immune system following burn injury, more specifically within the T-lymphocyte population.

**Innate Immune System Response to Burn Injury**

Natural resistance to infection in traumatic wounds is predominantly a function of the innate immune system. Following thermal injury, the innate immune system responds immediately by stimulating localized and systemic inflammatory reactions. The innate immune response participates in activating the adaptive immune response; however, in so doing it has an adverse affect on the burn victim’s ability to mount a vigorous immune response to invading microorganisms and, therefore, predisposes the burn victim to infectious complications. The innate immune system itself is composed of natural barriers to microbial invasion as well as cellular (leukocyte) and humoral (complement) elements.

Before a pathogen can establish invasive infection within the host it must break through the natural barriers of the skin or mucosa. For example, there is a loss of barrier function of the gastrointestinal epithelium in burn patients, which may be induced by up-regulation of the nitric oxide synthetase gene and the overproduction of nitric oxide (311); postoperative changes, such as increased intestinal motility and mucus secretion; and increased exposure to endotoxin (4). The development of multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome in critically ill patients has also been associated with a derangement in intestinal permeability (109). As a result, higher rates of bacterial translocation and endotoxin absorption through the gastrointestinal mucosa may contribute to the inflammatory response seen in burn patients.

The cellular elements of the innate immune system have important roles in antimicrobial killing and in coordinating the immune response. Decreased macrophage and natural killer cell activation results in reduced levels of IFN-γ following burn injury (88, 187). The function of NK cells is diminished following significant injury (362). Neutrophil dysfunction after significant thermal injuries has also been reported (44, 137, 175, 242). Endothelial adherence of neutrophils is initially decreased after injury and then increases (374); however, the site of endothelial adhesion may not be at the point of injury, and this misguided neutrophil adhesion and activation contribute to neutrophil-mediated endothelial injury, which may play a significant role in the pathogenesis...
of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome.

Neutrophil chemotaxis and intracellular killing are impaired following major burns (1, 173, 174). Diminished cytotoxic activity follows from a surge of degranulation early after injury and a subsequent inability to replenish intralysosomal enzymes and defensins (173, 362). Macrophages also demonstrate diminished phagocytic capacity following severe injury (5, 381). Lower levels of major histocompatibility complex class II expression and antigen presentation disrupt their roles in coordination of the immune response (362, 413). They also produce larger quantities of PGE$_2$, resulting in the suppression of B- and T-cell reactivity (281). Increased levels of IL-4 and IL-10 inhibit macrophage antigen presentation, decrease the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1$\beta$, and suppress bactericidal and fungicidal activity (110, 130, 138, 186, 329, 442, 447).

The complement cascade represents an important humoral component of the innate immune system. Following significant burn injuries, the alternative pathway of the complement cascade is primarily depressed, while there is little effect on the classical pathway (150). Complement levels fall in proportion to injury severity and then rise to supranormal levels (150). Activation of the complement cascade by thermal injury (39) increases levels of C3a and C5a, which may result in changes in blood pressure, vascular permeability, and leukocyte function (214, 473). Small amounts of C5a have been shown to stimulate leukocyte function; however, large amounts lead to suppression of activity (453). Membrane attack complexes may target normal cells near the site of injury, contributing to reactive cell lysis, which may induce end-organ damage (194). Lastly, increased levels of C3b may be directly immunosuppressive, as they have been shown to decrease phagocytosis and contribute to lymphocyte dysfunction (4).

These alterations to the innate immune system have the combined effect of increasing the burn patient’s exposure to pathogens and decreasing the natural defenses that are responsible for counteracting them. Exposure to pathogens occurs via the burn wound, invasive monitoring devices, and the gastrointestinal tract, which loses some of its capacity to act as an effective barrier to bacterial translocation. The effects of an anti-inflammatory cytokine milieu on NK cells, neutrophils, and macrophages impair the eradication of these pathogens by the innate immune system. Furthermore, the activation of complement following burn injury may be directly immunosuppressive. As a result of these phenomena and subsequent alterations to the adaptive immune system, burn patients are more susceptible to wound infections, severe sepsis, and multiple organ failure.

Adaptive Immune System in Response to Burn Injury

Following significant injury, several changes in the T-lymphocyte population have been observed. Total numbers of T lymphocytes fall in proportion to injury severity during the first week after injury (194, 362) and there is a decrease in T-cell-dependent immune functions (75, 227, 402, 405, 462). Diminished T-cell proliferation in response to mitogens (210, 364, 462) is associated with, and may be the result of, decreased production of IL-2 and IFN-$\gamma$ by monocytes (133, 463). The production of immunoglobulin G (IgG) in response to T-cell-dependent antigens is also impaired after serious injury; however, no impairment of antibody formation to T-cell-independent antigens has been observed (325). There is a decreased ratio of CD$^+$ T helper cells to CD8-positive T suppressor cells (67, 327). After an initial proinflammatory phase, injury results in a loss of Th-1 cells associated with depressed levels of IL-1$\beta$ and IFN-$\gamma$. Concomitantly, Th-2 lymphocytes are present in increased numbers along with higher levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4 and IL-10, which may inhibit Th-1 cell activation by suppressing antigen presentation (167).

A correlation between increased levels of IL-10 and septic events has been reported (256, 395). It remains uncertain whether the relative predominance of Th-2 cells over Th-1 cells represents a phenotypic change or an increase in the rate of apoptosis of Th-1 cells (244). Alterations in the balance between T suppressor lymphocytes and T helper lymphocytes and the ratio of Th-1 to Th-2 cells appear to be important etiologic factors in the suppression of the adaptive immune response.

Altering the Immunologic Response to Burn Injury

Despite our increasingly detailed understanding of the immunological suppression that follows thermal injuries, no attempts at directly modulating the immune response at a specific site have been shown to be clinically effective. It is becoming increasingly clear that any therapies directed at addressing this immunodeficiency in burn patients will likely have to target multiple points in the inflammatory response and the neuroendocrine axis.

Immune function in burn patients can only be restored through intensive resuscitation and support. Early excision of burn eschar and prompt wound coverage remove a significant inflammatory stimulus and restore the barrier function of the skin. Providing adequate analgesia and maintaining adequate tissue perfusion, ambient temperature, and blood volume help optimize the oxidative killing capacity of neutrophils (235). Early and adequate nutritional support is also important in restoring protein synthesis and normal immune function. Research efforts have focused on the topic of immune-modifying diets, such as glutamine-enriched diets, and their clinical benefits (155). However, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of such diets in burn patients at this time.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BURN WOUND INFECTIONS

Burn wound infections are one of the most important and potentially serious complications that occur in the acute period following injury (10, 11, 38, 108, 189, 243). The most important patient characteristics that influence morbidity and mortality from burn wound infection and sepsis are outlined below. In addition, the impact of early excision on reducing burn wound infections is discussed. Other factors that have played a significant role in decreasing the overall fatality rates from burn wound infection and sepsis include the use of topical and prophylactic antibiotics and advances in infection control measures in modern burn units (see Prevention of Burn Wound Infections, below).
Impact of Patient Demographics and Burn Severity

Very young children and the elderly have an increased risk of being burned and worse clinical outcomes than patients in other age groups (68–70, 72, 217, 320, 344). Individuals with deliberate self-inflicted burn injuries and the disabled have been shown to have more severe injuries and longer hospital stays than those with accidental injuries (18, 211, 332). Obese adults and those who have an underlying medical condition such as diabetes have also been shown to have higher morbidity and mortality (169, 276, 290). AIDS patients appear to have more complications due to infection, delayed wound healing, and increased mortality, although reported outcome data for human immunodeficiency virus-infected and AIDS patients are limited (115, 289, 310, 400). It is expected that burn patients with other types of severe immunosuppression would have similar problems, particularly increased problems with wound infection and sepsis and a higher mortality, although this group has not been studied.

Burns in the elderly constitute more severe injuries than in the general population and result in a higher number of fatalities. A recent review of adult patients admitted to a burn center over a 7-year period showed that 221 of 1,557 (11%) were >59 years of age and a higher proportion were women (279). Most elderly burn patients had one or more existing medical conditions and impaired judgment and/or mobility. Approximately one-third of the elderly patients in this study also sustained smoke inhalation injury. Substance abuse was a factor in some elderly patients, because toxicology screening showed that 10% had used alcohol and almost one-third tested positive for other drugs. Mortality was highest in elderly patients who had more severe burns and/or smoke inhalation injury that had existing underlying disease.

A recent study also assessed the factors affecting burn mortality in the elderly and analyzed changes that occurred over the past three decades (252). The study included 201 patients 75 years of age or older that had been admitted to a university-based burn center between 1972 and 2000. Almost half of these patients died (95, or 47.3%), and the severity of the burn injury as measured by TBSA and the abbreviated burn severity index were both strongly correlated with mortality. Due to improved burn care, however, the elderly are much less likely to die from burns now than in the 1970s unless they have an inhalation injury. Mortality increased significantly with inhalation injury despite advances in intensive respiratory support.

Children have a much higher risk of being burned than adults (344). In the United States in 2001 to 2002, an estimated 92,500 children aged 14 years and under required emergency care for burn-related injuries, and approximately 500 of these children died (320). Approximately two-thirds of these children sustained thermal injuries, while children <4 years of age are particularly prone to scald injury (320). Male children have a higher risk of burn injury and burn-related death than females, and obese boys represented a disproportionate number of the patients admitted to a pediatric burn center from 1991 to 1997 (26). Children who show failure to thrive (e.g., height and/or weight <5% of that expected by age) also have a higher risk of burn injury, perhaps due to the combined effects of malnutrition and neglect or abuse (26, 344).

Impact of Changes in Burn Wound Care

Much of the steady decline in burn wound infections, subsequent tissue invasion and sepsis, and associated mortality that has been realized in the past 50 years has been attributed to the substantial advances that have occurred in burn wound care, particularly early excision (15, 79, 171, 189, 196, 202, 209, 291, 369). There was a substantial reduction in one burn center in 1978 in the incidence of both burn wound infection and sepsis after the advent of early excision therapy (253). During the study period, the incidence of burn wound sepsis fell from 6% to 1% and the mortality rate for burn-related complications decreased from 40% to 18%. However, there are only two randomized, controlled trials of early excision versus conservative exposure therapy, and neither of these studies demonstrated a significant reduction in burn wound infections in patients with a major thermal injury (e.g., >15% TBSA) (127, 170). Limited data have been published that provide a clear picture of the epidemiology of different types of burn wound infections according to the recently published classification system (see Classification of Burn Wound Infections, below).

Most of our understanding of the epidemiology of burn wound infections has been gleaned from studies carried out in the 1950s through 1990 during the preexcision era of burn care (273). It is not surprising that the overall morbidity and mortality of burn wound infections, tissue invasion, and secondary sepsis were extremely high during this time period because the growth of bacteria on the burn wound surface was controlled but not eradicated. Case fatality rates were 40% or higher depending on the extent of the burn injury (272, 285, 340, 341). Immediate colonization by the patient’s normal skin flora (i.e., Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes) occurred following injury (23, 164, 249, 259, 333). Subsequent colonization by the patient’s own gut flora added to the complex microbiological ecology on the burn wound surface shortly thereafter (106, 248, 266, 267, 269, 371).

Nosocomial transmission of microorganisms to the burn wound also occurred by transfer from the hands of health care personnel and through immersion hydrotherapy treatment (73, 273, 450, 468). Burn unit outbreaks of infection were attributed mainly to contaminated Hubbard hydrotherapy tanks or water but in other cases to contaminated surfaces such as the patient’s mattress (126, 274, 280, 397, 436). Despite the recognized infection risk of immersion hydrotherapy treatment in burn units, this was standard practice in many specialized burn centers until the 1990s. A survey of burn centers in North America in 1990, 81.4% still used immersion hydrotherapy regardless of the size of the burn wound, and most centers also continued this therapy throughout hospitalization on all patients (385). Aside from microbial contamination of the tank water, aerators and agitators in hydrotherapy tubs were difficult to clean (280, 436). Hydrotherapy water continued to be cross-contaminated between patients despite the removal of these devices from the tanks (436). Sodium hypochlorite and chloramine-T disinfectants added to the hydrotherapy tank water decreased the microbial load on the burn wound surface and health care workers’ hands (73, 414). However, the hydrotherapy water irrigated the mucosal surfaces (e.g., conjunctiva and nares) of the patient and health care personnel, although this practice was effective in eliminating...
gram-negative microorganisms from burn wounds after several days of treatment (73).

Showering with a hand-held sprayer has gradually replaced hydrotherapy for cleansing and debridement of the burn wound. This practice decreases the transfer of bacteria on surfaces to the patient’s burn wound. However, outbreaks related to shower hydrotherapy have also recently been reported. *Pseudomonas* organisms were recovered from the hydrotherapy tank used to initially remove the patient’s adherent dressings in one outbreak (436), and another outbreak was caused by contamination of the shower hand grip and showering stretcher by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (126). Performing local wound care in the patient’s room has controlled burn unit outbreaks due to immersion hydrotherapy.

**PATHOGENESIS OF BURN WOUND INFECTIONS**

**Pathogenesis**

Thermal destruction of the skin barrier and concomitant depression of local and systemic host cellular and humoral immune responses are pivotal factors contributing to infectious complications in patients with severe burns (4, 173, 182, 194, 244). The burn wound surface (in deep partial-thickness and in all full-thickness burns) is a protein-rich environment consisting of avascular necrotic tissue (eschar) that provides a favorable niche for microbial colonization and proliferation (29, 129, 267, 268, 315). The avascularity of the eschar results in impaired migration of host immune cells and restricts delivery of systemically administered antimicrobial agents to the area, while toxic substances released by eschar tissue impair local host immune responses (see Immunological Response to Burn Injury, above).

Although burn wound surfaces are sterile immediately following thermal injury, these wounds eventually become colonized with microorganisms (129, 469). The nature and extent of the thermal injury along with the types and amounts of microorganisms colonizing the burn wound appear to influence the future risk of an invasive wound infection (29, 129, 268, 315). Gram-positive bacteria that survive the thermal insult, such as staphylococci located deep within sweat glands and hair follicles, heavily colonize the wound surface within the first 48 h unless topical antimicrobial agents are used (6, 129, 164). Eventually (after an average of 5 to 7 days), these wounds are subsequently colonized with other microbes, including gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and yeasts derived from the host’s normal gastrointestinal and upper respiratory flora and/or from the hospital environment or that are transferred via a health care worker’s hands (6, 129, 267, 268, 356, 449, 450, 468).

Over the last several decades, gram-negative organisms have emerged as the most common etiologic agents of invasive infection by virtue of their large repertoire of virulence factors and antimicrobial resistance traits (84, 92, 162, 358, 360, 363, 388, 401, 404, 436). If the patient’s host defenses and therapeutic measures (including excision of necrotic tissue and wound closure) are inadequate or delayed, microbial invasion of viable tissue occurs, which is the hallmark of an invasive burn wound infection (see “Histological analysis” under Analysis of Burn Wound Specimens, below).

**Biofilm Formation**

Biofilms are complex communities of surface-attached aggregates of microorganisms embedded in a self-secreted extracellular polysaccharide matrix, or slime (419, 421). They are found in a wide range of natural and artificial environments and provide their constituent microbial cells with a plethora of protected dynamic microenvironments (419, 421). Once mature, biofilms act as efficient barriers against antimicrobial agents and the host immune system, resulting in persistent colonization and/or infection at the site of biofilm formation (118, 326).

Although biofilms are best known for their role in foreign device-related infections, recent studies have confirmed the importance of biofilms in the pathogenesis of burn wound infections (434). In animals with experimentally inflicted partial-thickness cutaneous burns, mature biofilms develop in 48 to 72 h, while in vitro experiments with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains recovered from human burn wounds demonstrate that mature biofilms can form in about 10 h (184). Factors delaying the formation of biofilms in vivo may be related to the need for microbial nutrient replenishment, exposure to killing by the immune system, and immediate wound cleansing (184).

Bacteria within a biofilm typically undergo a phenotypic change whereby microbial virulence factor production is altered and metabolic rate and motility are reduced (118, 419, 421). Channels formed within the protective environment of the biofilm facilitate the transport of nutrients and microbial waste products (118, 184, 419, 421). Intercellular signaling molecules produced by bacteria within the biofilm are able to traverse these channels and influence the overall growth pattern and behavior of the biofilm in response to various host and environmental factors (258, 350, 419, 421). Persister cells within the biofilm are the cells that have remained within the biofilm after treatment with antimicrobial agents and antiseptics (434). These persister cells temporarily disable their inherent mechanisms of programmed cell death in the presence of harsh environmental conditions and help in repopulating the biofilm, often leading to failure in biofilm eradication (419, 421).

**Microbial Etiology**

Bacteria rapidly colonize open skin wounds after burn injury. Microorganisms colonizing the burn wound originate from the patient’s endogenous skin and gastrointestinal and respiratory flora (29, 129, 267, 268, 356). Microorganisms may also be transferred to a patient’s skin surface via contact with contaminated external environmental surfaces, water, fomites, air, and the soiled hands of health care workers (450, 468). Immediately following injury, gram-positive bacteria from the patient’s endogenous skin flora or the external environment predominantly colonize the burn wound (29, 164, 469). Endogenous gram-negative bacteria from the patient’s gastrointestinal flora also rapidly colonize the burn wound surface in the first few days after injury (266, 267, 269, 357). Wound colonization by yeasts and fungi usually occurs later due to the use of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy (65, 105, 123). Microorganisms transmitted from the hospital environment tend to be more resistant to antimicrobial agents than those originating...
from the patient’s normal flora (84, 146, 148, 190, 358, 363). Table 2 lists the most common microorganisms colonizing and infecting burn wounds.

Prior to the antibiotic era, *Streptococcus pyogenes* (group A beta-hemolytic streptococci) was the predominant pathogen implicated in burn wound infections and was a major cause of death in severely burned patients (23, 246, 249). *Staphylococcus aureus* became the principal etiological agent of burn wound infections (250, 333) shortly after the introduction of penicillin G in the early 1950s, which resulted in the virtual elimination of *Streptococcus pyogenes* as a cause of infection in thermally injured patients. Although *Staphylococcus aureus* remains a common cause of early burn wound infection, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the patient’s endogenous gastrointestinal flora and/or an environmental source is the most common cause of burn wound infections in many centers (6). The incidence of infections due to less commonly encountered microbes, including other gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and viruses, has also increased steadily in subsequent decades (3, 23, 37, 65, 92, 105, 143, 149, 360, 389) (Table 2). While less common, infections due to anaerobic bacteria typically occur secondary to electrical burns or when open wound dressings are used in place of occlusive dressings (308).

The emergence worldwide of antimicrobial resistance among a wide variety of human bacterial and fungal burn wound pathogens, particularly nosocomial isolates, limits the available therapeutic options for effective treatment of burn wound infections (6, 84, 120, 148, 190, 358). MRSA, methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *staphylococci*, vancomycin-resistant *enterococci*, and multiply resistant gram-negative bacteria that possess several types of beta-lactamas, *ampC* beta-lactamas, and metallo-beta-lactamas, have been emerging as serious pathogens in hospitalized patients (84, 92, 126, 152, 190, 241, 358). Fungal pathogens, particularly *Candida* spp., have increasingly become important opportunistic pathogens due to the use of broad-spectrum topical and systemic agents when infection occurs in the burned patient and have demonstrated increasing degrees of antifungal drug resistance (10, 19, 233, 302).

## Table 2. Microorganisms causing invasive burn wound infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive organisms</td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> Methicillin-resistant <em>S. aureus</em> Coagulase-negative <em>staphylococci</em> Enterococcus spp. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viruses</td>
<td>Herpes simplex virus Cytomegalovirus Varicella-zoster virus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData are from references 3, 23, 37, 65, 92, 105, 143, 149, 360, and 389.

## Virulence Factors and Tissue Invasion

The risk of invasive burn wound infection is influenced by the extent and depth of the burn injury, various host factors, and the quantity and virulence of the microbial flora colonizing the wound. Common burn wound pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* produce a number of virulence factors that are important in the pathogenesis of invasive infection. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produces a number of cell-associated (adhesins, alginate, pili, flagella, and lipopolysaccharide) and extracellular (elastase, exoenzyme S, exotoxin A, hemolysins, iron-binding proteins, leukocidins, and proteases) virulence factors that mediate a number of processes, including adhesion, nutrient acquisition, immune system evasion, leukocyte killing, tissue destruction, and bloodstream invasion (437, 441). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* also carries many intrinsic and acquired antimicrobial resistance traits that make infected burn wounds difficult to treat (212, 241).

*Staphylococcus aureus* also has a diverse array of virulence factors that facilitate adherence to host tissues, immune system evasion, and destruction of host cells and tissues, including coagulase, protein A, leukocidins, hemolysins, and superantigens (142). Resistance to methicillin in *Staphylococcus aureus* and more recently emergence of resistance to glycopeptides and oxazolidinones, also complicate the treatment of burn wound infections and sepsis caused by this highly virulent organism (183, 288, 309, 391).

## Classification of Burn Wound Infections

Burn wound infection is a serious problem because it causes a delay in epidermal maturation and leads to additional scar tissue formation (118, 398). Invasion of microorganisms into the tissue layers below the dermis may also result in bacteremia, sepsis, and multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome (20, 272, 346, 365). Clinical diagnosis of burn wound infection relies on regular monitoring of vital signs and inspection of the entire burn wound surface, preferably during each dressing change. Local signs of burn wound infection with invasion include conversion of a partial-thickness injury to a full-thickness wound, rapidly extending cellulitis of healthy tissue surrounding the injury, rapid eschar separation, and tissue necrosis.

Burn wound infections were previously classified based on changes in the burn wound and/or eschar appearance, time of occurrence, and associated mortality into distinct conditions, including impetigo, cellulitis, and invasive infection. Due to the advent of early excision therapy, new classifications for burn wound infections related to surgical wound infection at the excision site(s) have been developed by a subcommittee of the Committee on the Organization and Delivery of Burn Care of the American Burn Association (273, 331, 369). Each of these distinct clinical conditions that make up the spectrum of burn
wound infections is described briefly below. Burn wound impetigo may or may not be associated with systemic signs of infection, but fever (temperature of >38.4°C) or leukocytosis (white blood cell count of >10,000 cells/mm³) and/or thrombocytopenia is present in all of the other types of burn wound infections outlined. The development of burn wound cellulitis or invasive burn wound infection may also be heralded by bacteremia or sepsis.

In addition to burn wound surface and/or tissue cultures, patients with signs of systemic infections should have a complete septic workup that includes blood and urine cultures as well as burn wound sample cultures. Effective treatment of burn wound infections combines an increased frequency of burn wound dressing changes with optimization of the patient's antimicrobial therapy regimen according to microbiology culture and susceptibility results from burn wound cultures.

Types of Burn Wound Infection

**Burn wound impetigo.** Impetigo involves the loss of epithelium from a previously reepithelialized surface, such as grafted burns, partial-thickness burns allowed to close by secondary intention, or healed donor sites. Burn wound impetigo is not related to inadequate excision of the burn, mechanical disruption of the graft, or hematoma formation.

**Burn-related surgical wound infection.** Surgical wound infections in burn patients include both excised burn and donor sites that have not yet epithelialized. The wound has purulent exudate that is culture positive. Surgical wound infections in open areas of the burn show loss of synthetic or biological covering of the wound, changes in wound appearance (such as hyperemia), and erythema in the uninjured skin surrounding the wound.

**Burn wound cellulitis.** Burn wound cellulitis results from an extension of infection into the healthy, uninjured skin and soft tissues surrounding the burn wound or donor site. This condition is recognized by extension of erythema in the uninjured skin surrounding the burn beyond what is expected from the injury itself. Burn wound cellulitis is not associated with other signs of wound infection, but at least one of the following manifestations is present: localized pain or tenderness, swelling or heat at the affected site, progression of erythema and swelling, and signs of lymphangitis and/or lymphadenitis extending from the affected skin area along routes of lymphatic drainage to the area.

**Invasive infection in unexcised burn wounds.** Patients with areas of unexcised deep partial-thickness or full-thickness burn wounds have an increased risk of developing an invasive infection (10, 11, 27, 29, 253). This complication may be heralded by a rapid associated change in burn wound appearance or character such as separation of the eschar or dark brown, black, or violaceous discoloration of the eschar. Manifestations of invasive infection of unexcised burn wounds include inflammation of the surrounding uninjured skin, such as edema, erythema, warmth or tenderness, evidence of microbial invasion into adjacent viable tissue on histological examination, and positive blood cultures with isolation of a pathogen in the absence of another identifiable source of infection and systemic signs of sepsis, i.e., tachypnea, hypotension, oliguria, unexplained hyperglycemia (e.g., increased serum glucose level that develops at a previously tolerated level of dietary carbohydrate), and/or mental confusion. Effective treatment requires surgical excision of the burn in addition to the medical measures outlined previously.

**MICROBIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF BURN WOUND INFECTIONS**

Diagnosis of burn wound infection based on clinical signs and symptoms alone is difficult. Regular sampling of the burn wound either by surface swab or tissue biopsy for culture is also done to monitor for the presence of infection. Quantitative culture of tissue biopsy samples and histological verification of microbial invasion into viable unburned tissue have been the "gold standard" for confirming the presence of invasive burn wound infection, particularly in unexcised areas of eschar.

More recently, however, the value of laborious and costly quantitative burn wound tissue biopsy cultures has been questioned (145, 282, 458). Many burn centers have correspondingly shifted to the more convenient practice of procuring burn wound surface swabs for qualitative or semi quantitative culture for infection surveillance since the advent of early excision. This section discusses the various diagnostic microbiological approaches to diagnosis of burn wound infection and current recommendations for a best approach to burn wound infection surveillance.

**Best Approach for Burn Wound Infection Surveillance**

Review of the studies that have compared burn wound infection surveillance by surface swabs and burn wound biopsy provides conflicting results about the best approach. Conflicting results have been obtained by different studies for the following reasons: burn patients do not have homogenous injuries (e.g., the severity and extent of burn injury vary greatly from patient to patient), various sampling techniques and laboratory methods have been used, and most comparative studies were done before the advent of early excision therapy (41, 48, 247, 254, 255, 282, 399, 407, 408, 423). Steer and colleagues (407, 408) have reported the largest recent studies that compared the results of surface swab versus biopsy cultures. In their initial study (408), a comparison was made of the qualitative results and quantitative bacterial counts of 141 surface swabs and 141 wound biopsy samples taken from 74 burn patients. Although there was significant correlation between the bacterial counts obtained by biopsy and swab, the counts obtained by one method were poorly predictive of the counts obtained by the other. In addition, parallel cultures taken on multiple occasions showed a significant correlation between bacterial counts obtained from two biopsies or two swabs taken simultaneously, but there was wide variation in bacterial densities from the same burn wound at the same time. These investigators concluded that the use of quantitative microbiology in burns is limited by the unreliability of a single surface swab or biopsy sample to represent the whole burn wound.

Steer and coworkers (407) subsequently performed a clinical-outcome study to determine the relationship between bacterial counts obtained by burn wound biopsy culture and surface swabs. These investigators collected 69 paired biopsy-surface swab specimens from 47 patients (mean 16% TBSA...
burned) on 64 separate occasions, either immediately prior to excision and grafting or during routine dressing changes. There was a significant positive correlation between total bacterial count by biopsy and total white cell count and a significant negative correlation between total bacterial count by swab and percent TBSA burned. No relationship was observed between clinical outcome and bacterial counts obtained with either method. Hence, this study demonstrated that quantitative bacteriology by burn wound biopsy or surface swab sample does not aid the prediction of sepsis or graft loss.

Loeb and colleagues (254, 255) originally demonstrated that the recovery of bacterial flora from the unexcised burn wound surface showed poor correlation with that from tissue biopsy samples taken from deep sites beneath the eschar. Freshwater and Su (145) also found that the results of quantitative burn wound cultures needed to be interpreted in conjunction with clinical observations of burn wound infection in order to be a useful guide to the management of burn patients with large TBSA burns. Tahan and colleagues (423), in a study comparing surface swabs and burn wound biopsy cultures in 17 patients with second- and third-degree burns, found no difference in the types of microorganisms cultured from swabs versus those cultured from biopsies. Levine and colleagues (247) additionally noted a linear numerical relationship between quantitative surface swab and biopsy sample counts of viable bacteria from burn wounds, whereby counts of $10^5$ bacteria per gram of biopsy sample were equated with counts of $10^6$ bacteria obtained from surface swab samples.

McManus and colleagues found that quantitative cultures of tissue biopsy samples provided a better determination of the predominant bacterial types present in the burn wound (282). Herruzo-Cabreraba and colleagues (205) showed that a semiquantitative surface swab method distinguished between (282). Herruzo-Cabrera and colleagues (205) showed that a semiquantitative surface swab method distinguished between the predominant bacterial types present in the burn wound of tissue biopsy samples provided a better determination of the types of microorganisms cultured from swabs versus those cultured from biopsies. Levine and colleagues (247) additionally noted a linear numerical relationship between quantitative surface swab and biopsy sample counts of viable bacteria from burn wounds, whereby counts of $10^5$ bacteria per gram of biopsy sample were equated with counts of $10^6$ bacteria obtained from surface swab samples.

McManus and colleagues (399) recently reported that quantitative tissue biopsies gave a better prediction of sepsis than surface swabs but concluded that the amount of labor involved in collection and analysis of multiple biopsy samples limited the clinical relevance of this approach. Bharadwaj and colleagues (41) also assessed the value of blood cultures in the diagnosis of burn wound sepsis compared to burn wound cultures by either swab or tissue biopsy. Fifty patients with burns ranging from 30 to 50% TBSA were monitored for clinical signs of sepsis, and only 62.5% had positive burn wound cultures according to surface swabs, compared to 87.5% who had a significant bacterial count on biopsy sample culture. Blood cultures were found to be of only prognostic value in this study (41). Blood cultures have also been shown to be a late sign of invasive burn wound infection even when they are positive (270).

The best approach for routine infection surveillance of burn wounds is to use the most appropriate sampling technique for the type of burn wound area being cultured, since no single method provides a clinically relevant, reliable result for unexcised wounds (e.g., eschar) versus those that have been excised. Superficial swabs provide an adequate sampling of the microbial flora present on the wound surface and are the most convenient and least invasive approach currently available for sampling excised burn areas. Surface swabs are also the only type of sample that may be taken from areas where the skin is too thin to do a biopsy, such as over the ears, eyes, and digits. However, quantitative cultures of burn wound tissue biopsy samples along with concomitant histological analysis are the preferred infection surveillance approach for burn areas that have not been or cannot be excised. Tissue biopsy samples should also be sent for quantitative culture from infected burn wound areas in patients with sepsis.

Simultaneous culture of quantitative tissue biopsy, blood, and urine samples provides the best approach for recovery and identification of the causative organisms and their antimicrobial susceptibilities in the septic burn patient. This method also provides an accurate assessment of the depth and extent of burn infection in areas of indeterminate injury. Tissue biopsy analyses are also necessary in order to diagnose unusual types of burn wound infection due to fungi and viruses (see Other Types of Infection in Burn Patients, below).

### Burn Wound Sampling Techniques

A variety of different approaches have been described for assessing the nature and extent of microbial involvement in burn wounds, although the optimal sampling technique continues to be debated. Infection surveillance of the burn wound requires taking samples on a regular basis, by either biopsying tissue or collecting surface swabs (55, 189, 202, 340, 341, 369, 458, 459). Multiple samples from several areas of the burn wound should be collected in order to obtain the most accurate assessment of the types and amounts of microorganisms present regardless of the sampling technique. Samples should be collected frequently in the first few days to weeks following injury (e.g., daily or every 48 h during dressing changes) when the microbial flora is evolving. Sampling frequency may be decreased to weekly once the burn wound has been excised, provided clinical signs of infection are not present.

**Superficial wound samples.** Clinical microbiology laboratories routinely provide semiquantitative or qualitative results from cultures of superficial wound samples. A number of techniques for the collection of burn wound surface cultures have been described over the last several decades, including the collection of swabs or contact plates and capillarity gauze sampling (48, 160, 247, 399, 407, 408, 423, 459). Although each of these methods is described for historical completeness, modern burn units universally rely on the collection of surface swabs. Specimens collected by superficial sampling of the burn wound surface must be done after the removal of dressings and topical antibacterial agents and cleansing of the wound surface with 70% alcohol (41, 247, 407, 408).

Burn wound surface swabs are a convenient and effective method for routinely collecting multiple superficial wound samples (247, 408). Although there have been no studies that have compared different commercially available swabs for their ability to recover pathogens from the burn wound surface, a recent general comparison of three swab transport systems (Starplex StarSwab II, Copan VI-Pak Ames agar gel and transport swabs, and BBL Port-A-Cul) showed that the Copan VI-Pak system outperformed the other two by maintaining viability of both anaerobic and fastidious aerobic bacteria for 24 h for the organisms evaluated (206). In order to obtain enough cellular material for culture, the end of a sterile swab is moved over a minimum 1-centimeter area of the open
wound. Sufficient pressure should be applied to the tip of the swab to cause minimal bleeding in the underlying tissue. Evaluations of the recovery of organisms using both dry and moistened swabs have shown that the moist-swab technique provides better reproducibility (48).

Capillarity gauze sample collections are done by applying gauze squares moistened in nonbacteriostatic saline to the open burn wound surface for several minutes, followed by use of the contaminated surface of the gauze to inoculate agar culture plates (160, 459). Although this method is relatively time-consuming and expensive, it may be superior to swab cultures. Since the capillarity gauze surface permits a more inclusive harvest of the resident bacteria, the quantitative culture result is more reproducible (459).

Agar contact plates may also be applied directly to the open wound surface, but this method has not been adopted into clinical practice because it is the least reproducible sampling technique, and culture medium sterility is not easily maintained outside of the microbiology laboratory (85, 160, 161).

**Tissue biopsy.** Serial harvesting of multiple samples from beneath the eschar for quantitative culture has historically been the primary method used for accurate infection surveillance on the unexcised burn wound (254, 255, 282, 464, 465). The quantitative burn biopsy culture method was widely adopted into practice following the studies by Loebl and colleagues (254, 255). After the burn wound surface is cleansed with isopropyl alcohol, two parallel incisions are made in the skin approximately 1 to 2 cm in length and 1.5 cm apart. Sterile tissue forceps are then used to elevate and biopsy a sample with a sterile scalpel from the subcutaneous tissue at sufficient depth to obtain a small portion of the healthy underlying fat. Biopsy samples may also be collected by 3-mm punch biopsy. Tissue biopsy samples obtained by this method typically weigh between 0.02 and 0.05 g. Biopsy specimens are then placed on a nonbacteriostatic moistened sterile gauze pad within a sterile container in order to prevent tissue sample desiccation during transport.

Other investigators have also shown that quantitative burn wound biopsy cultures are more accurate than superficial surface cultures for diagnosing invasive infection in unexcised burn wounds (41). However, tissue biopsy samples must be taken from deep sites beneath the eschar (145). Woolfrey and colleagues (465) found poor reproducibility of quantitative bacterial counts between different eschar biopsy samples and showed that even high bacterial tissue levels did not correlate with the development of burn wound sepsis. Clinical microbiology laboratories may routinely perform burn wound surveillance cultures using quantitative methods on tissue biopsy samples and correlate the results with the histological analysis performed on a portion of the same biopsy sample.

**Sampling techniques for other microbial pathogens.** Limited data are available regarding the optimal burn wound sampling technique to reliably detect other microbial pathogens that may cause burn wound infection, including various anaerobic bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Anaerobic swab systems and prereduced anaerobic media that provide an optimal environment for the transport of inoculated surface swabs for culture are commercially available (83). The Copan VI-Pak agar gel collection system has recently been shown to maintain the viability of anaerobic bacteria for 24 h in transport (206), however, tissue biopsy samples placed in nonbacteriostatic saline-moistened gauze in a sterile container may be more reliable for recovery of all anaerobic species from burn wounds. For viruses and fungi, tissue biopsy for culture, immunofluorescence testing (for viruses such as herpes simplex virus), and histology appear to be the most reliable diagnostic methods (see Histological analysis, below).

### Specimen Transport

Although there are no published standards for transport of burn wound specimens, both superficial swabs and tissue samples should be received by the laboratory as soon after collection as possible to ensure optimal recovery of all types of microorganisms. The recovery of fastidious aerobes and anaerobes may be impaired if transport to the laboratory is delayed even though commercial swabs are directly inoculated into buffered and prereduced media (e.g., most commonly Amies, Stuart’s, and PRAS). Tissue samples should be placed onto sterile nonbacteriostatic saline-moistened gauze in a leak-proof sterile container for immediate transport so that the laboratory receives and inoculates that sample onto culture media within 1 to 2 h after collection (464). Liaison between clinicians and microbiologists is essential to establish and monitor expected transportation time thresholds for delivery of burn wound biopsy samples to the clinical microbiology laboratory.

### Analysis of Burn Wound Specimens

The clinical microbiology laboratory, in order to recover and identify all potential pathogens and to perform antibiotic susceptibility testing, analyzes both superficial swab and tissue samples. The primary analytical procedures used to culture both swab and tissue samples are outlined herein.

**Gram stain.** The utility of Gram staining for routine microbiological analysis of burn wound surfaces was recently evaluated in 375 serially collected specimens from 50 burn patients at our center (125). Overall, the degree of correlation between surface swab Gram stain and culture in that study was found to be fair. While Gram staining may provide an index of the degree of microbial colonization of the burn wound (125, 422), it is not suitable for diagnosing burn wound infection and does not provide information on the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of microbes colonizing or infecting the burn wound.

**Surface swab culture.** Cultures of burn wound surface swabs are routinely performed to provide a qualitative or semiquantitative result. However, methods have also been published for reporting a quantitative result based on the area of the surface of the burn wound sampled by the swabbing procedure (247, 407).

Swabs are used to inoculate blood and MacConkey agar plates using a sterile loop and inoculating the surface using the four-quadrant method. Plates are inspected for growth after 24 h of aerobic incubation at 37°C. A qualitative microbiology report provides the identification of all potential pathogens regardless of amount and the results of antibiotic susceptibility testing by isolate. A semiquantitative microbiology report includes an estimation of the relative predominance of all potential pathogens according to growth in each of the four
plated quadrants (e.g., 1+, 2+, 3+, and 4+) as well as identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.

Quantitative counts may be reported from surface swab cultures provided a standard area was swabbed (e.g., 4 cm² of the burn wound surface) (247, 407). A bacterial suspension is first made by vortexing the swab in 1 ml of Tween 80 for a minute. The bacterial suspension is then plated onto blood and MacConkey agar in 0.1- and 0.01-ml quantities by spreading the sample evenly over the agar surface using a sterile spreading rod. The plates are then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Colony counts are done to obtain the counts per cm² of the surface of the burn for all potential pathogens isolated. A quantitative microbiology culture report provides the exact amount per cm² of all potential pathogens, including the identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.

**Quantitative tissue culture.** Over three decades ago, Loebl and colleagues (254, 255) developed and evaluated a method for quantitative bacterial cultures of burn wound samples that have been widely adopted into practice. Their original method was based on the collection of burn wound biopsy specimens placed in sterile nonbacteriostatic saline, followed by maceration and preparation of doubling 10-fold dilutions. A 0.1-ml aliquot of each dilution was plated onto the surface of nonselective agar medium, followed by overnight incubation at appropriate temperature and atmospheric conditions to support microbial growth. Burn wound infection was present by quantitative counts of >10⁴ CFU/ml (e.g., 4 cm² of the burn wound surface) (247, 407). A bacterial suspension is first made by vortexing the swab in 1 ml of Tween 80 for a minute. The bacterial suspension is then plated onto blood and MacConkey agar in 0.1- and 0.01-ml quantities by spreading the sample evenly over the agar surface using a sterile spreading rod. The plates are then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Colony counts are done to obtain the counts per cm² of the surface of the burn for all potential pathogens isolated. A quantitative microbiology culture report provides the exact amount per cm² of all potential pathogens, including the identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.

**Quantitative tissue culture.** Over three decades ago, Loebl and colleagues (254, 255) developed and evaluated a method for quantitative bacterial cultures of burn wound samples that have been widely adopted into practice. Their original method was based on the collection of burn wound biopsy specimens placed in sterile nonbacteriostatic saline, followed by maceration and preparation of doubling 10-fold dilutions. A 0.1-ml aliquot of each dilution was plated onto the surface of nonselective agar medium, followed by overnight incubation at appropriate temperature and atmospheric conditions to support microbial growth. Burn wound infection was present by quantitative counts of >10⁴ CFU/ml (e.g., 4 cm² of the burn wound surface) (247, 407). A bacterial suspension is first made by vortexing the swab in 1 ml of Tween 80 for a minute. The bacterial suspension is then plated onto blood and MacConkey agar in 0.1- and 0.01-ml quantities by spreading the sample evenly over the agar surface using a sterile spreading rod. The plates are then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Colony counts are done to obtain the counts per cm² of the surface of the burn for all potential pathogens isolated. A quantitative microbiology culture report provides the exact amount per cm² of all potential pathogens, including the identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.

**Quantitative tissue culture.** Over three decades ago, Loebl and colleagues (254, 255) developed and evaluated a method for quantitative bacterial cultures of burn wound samples that have been widely adopted into practice. Their original method was based on the collection of burn wound biopsy specimens placed in sterile nonbacteriostatic saline, followed by maceration and preparation of doubling 10-fold dilutions. A 0.1-ml aliquot of each dilution was plated onto the surface of nonselective agar medium, followed by overnight incubation at appropriate temperature and atmospheric conditions to support microbial growth. Burn wound infection was present by quantitative counts of >10⁴ CFU/ml (e.g., 4 cm² of the burn wound surface) (247, 407). A bacterial suspension is first made by vortexing the swab in 1 ml of Tween 80 for a minute. The bacterial suspension is then plated onto blood and MacConkey agar in 0.1- and 0.01-ml quantities by spreading the sample evenly over the agar surface using a sterile spreading rod. The plates are then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Colony counts are done to obtain the counts per cm² of the surface of the burn for all potential pathogens isolated. A quantitative microbiology culture report provides the exact amount per cm² of all potential pathogens, including the identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.

**Quantitative tissue culture.** Over three decades ago, Loebl and colleagues (254, 255) developed and evaluated a method for quantitative bacterial cultures of burn wound samples that have been widely adopted into practice. Their original method was based on the collection of burn wound biopsy specimens placed in sterile nonbacteriostatic saline, followed by maceration and preparation of doubling 10-fold dilutions. A 0.1-ml aliquot of each dilution was plated onto the surface of nonselective agar medium, followed by overnight incubation at appropriate temperature and atmospheric conditions to support microbial growth. Burn wound infection was present by quantitative counts of >10⁴ CFU/ml (e.g., 4 cm² of the burn wound surface) (247, 407). A bacterial suspension is first made by vortexing the swab in 1 ml of Tween 80 for a minute. The bacterial suspension is then plated onto blood and MacConkey agar in 0.1- and 0.01-ml quantities by spreading the sample evenly over the agar surface using a sterile spreading rod. The plates are then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. Colony counts are done to obtain the counts per cm² of the surface of the burn for all potential pathogens isolated. A quantitative microbiology culture report provides the exact amount per cm² of all potential pathogens, including the identification of each pathogen to the genus and/or species level and their antibiotic susceptibility test results.
analysis are the provision of an accurate picture of the degree and depth of colonization of microorganisms in the burn eschar and adjacent viable tissue that cannot be obtained by microbiological testing, and an accurate measurement of burn depth.

Distinguishing Burn Wound Colonization from Infection

Acute infection is clinically suspected when there is excessive inflammatory response surrounding the wound, which manifests clinically as redness, pain, and edema surrounding the wound in association with purulence of the surface in the presence of systemic signs of infection (i.e., fever and leukocytosis) (see Classification of Burn Wound Infections, above). Gram staining of a wound sample taken during acute infection will also show evidence of purulence, defined by the presence of a moderate to high number of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (125). Because burn wound swabs may show purulence due to the inflammatory response from the injury, the presence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes must be correlated with the amounts and types of bacteria present (125, 159). Burn wound infection will demonstrate purulence and the presence of a moderate to heavy amount of one or more pathogenic bacterial morphotypes that should be recovered in culture in predominant amounts (see Microbial Etiology, above). However, histological analysis of tissue biopsy samples may be required to definitively diagnose invasive burn wound infection (282, 294). Histological analysis shows invasion of bacteria into the dermis beneath the eschar and surrounding healthy tissues (294).

Clinical symptoms and signs of infection will be absent when a burn wound is colonized. Colonization is present when bacteria are cultured from the burn wound surface in the absence of clinical or microscopic evidence of infection. Gram staining of a sample taken from a colonized wound normally shows little or no purulence (e.g., no or few polymorphonuclear leukocytes) (125). However, polymorphonuclear leukocytes may be present in burn wound samples because of the secondary inflammatory response due to the injury (159). Gram stains typically show a mixture of normal skin flora and potential pathogens, with a lack of predominance of any potential pathogen. Several species of bacteria are found on normal human skin, including *Staphylococcus* spp., especially coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*, *Micrococcus* spp., *Corynebacterium* spp., *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Streptococcus viridans* group, *Neisseria* spp., *Brevibacterium* spp., and *Peptococcus* spp. (469). Bacteria will also grow from colonized burn wounds, but typically in smaller amounts than are present during infection, and potential pathogens are not predominant. The semiquantitative or quantitative wound bacteriologic cultures outlined above therefore assist in differentiation between colonized and infected wounds (247). Histological analysis shows superficial colonization of the burn wound surface by bacteria but no invasion into the deeper tissues (294).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Although clinical microbiology laboratories perform conventional antibiotic susceptibility testing on bacterial patho-

gens isolated from burn swab and tissue samples, the information provided by these methods must be interpreted within the context of the burn wound milieu. Microorganisms entrenched within a complex burn wound biofilm are in a physiologically and metabolically different state from their in vitro planktonic forms (419, 421). There are currently no published standards for the routine antibiotic susceptibility testing of bacteria that form part of a biofilm, although recent studies suggest that a modified broth microdilution plate method may provide an accurate in vitro result (77, 326). Only conventional broth microdilution methods provide antibiotic susceptibility MIC information about planktonic forms (383), but most laboratories routinely use an automated system (i.e., VITEK by Bio-Mérieux, Durham, NC; Phoenix from Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ; or Microscan from Dade-Behring, Deerfield, IL) to perform conventional antibiotic susceptibility testing in combination with disk diffusion, E-test, and agar dilution for confirmation of some automated system results. Most antimicrobial agents have not been subjected to controlled clinical efficacy trials in the treatment of burn wound infections, and there are limited data about the ability of systemically administered antibiotics to penetrate the devascularized burn eschar (307, 339). Hence, burn patients infected with bacterial strains that appear to be susceptible to a particular antimicrobial agent in vitro may fail to respond clinically.

Most antimicrobial therapy prescribed for burn patients is administered topically (see Topical Antimicrobial Therapy, below). Standard methods have not yet been published to allow clinical microbiology laboratories to routinely perform antibi-otic susceptibility testing of burn wound isolates against commonly used topical antimicrobial agents. Although studies by Nathan and colleagues (317) as well as others (193, 207, 367) have described an agar diffusion method for this purpose, its use remains experimental and it has not been widely adopted due to lack of reproducibility and standardization. Pathogenic burn wound isolates are spread over the surface of an agar plate with 6-mm wells cut into the agar that contain various concentrations of the topical antibiotic being tested (317). The size of the zone of inhibition is predictive of the agent’s specific antibacterial activity. Although procedures have been studied for assessing the antimicrobial susceptibilities of bacteria growing in a biofilm (77, 326), this method has not yet been widely adopted into practice and may be too laborious for routine use in the clinical microbiology laboratory. Standardized methods need to be developed for routine antibiotic susceptibility testing of burn wound isolates against the topical antimicrobial agents currently used by burn units.

Antimicrobial resistance and burn units. Emerging antimicrobial resistance trends in burn wound bacterial pathogens represent a serious therapeutic challenge for clinicians caring for burn patients (6, 124, 129, 307). Antibiotic-resistant organisms such as MRSA, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, and multiply-resistant gram-negative rods, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter* spp., and various members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, have been associated with infections of the burn wound and other anatomic sites in patients with major thermal injury, occasionally in the form of nosocomial outbreaks (51, 126, 136, 146, 212, 271, 274, 287, 337, 360, 361, 363, 386, 397, 436, 437). Risk factors for acquisition of an antibiotic-resistant organism include receipt of antibiotics
prior to the development of infection, extended duration of hospitalization, previous hospitalization, invasive procedures, comatose state, and advancing age.

Strict infection control practices (i.e., physical isolation in a private room, use of gowns and gloves during patient contact, and handwashing before and after each patient visit) and appropriate empirical antimicrobial therapy are essential to help reduce the incidence of infections due to these antibiotic-resistant organisms (124, 129, 172). An official institutional policy guiding appropriate selection and use of antimicrobials for the treatment of infections in burn patients will further support efforts to reduce the burden of illness due to antibiotic-resistant organisms while potentially reducing hospital costs, length of hospital stay, and adverse effects due to these agents.

**Burn unit antibiogram.** Burn centers should routinely determine and track the specific pattern of burn wound microbial colonization, time-related changes in the predominant microbial flora of the burn wound in individual patients, the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of microorganisms implicated in burn wound infections in a given time period, and trends in the nosocomial spread of these pathogens (3, 6). Some burn centers rely on a laboratory microbiologic surveillance system involving periodic sampling of burn wounds and other anatomic sites to provide this information (117, 131, 202). This would facilitate the selection and administration of appropriate empirical systemic antimicrobial agents prior to the availability of microbiological culture and susceptibility test results. It is recognized that the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the burn unit microbial flora may not necessarily correlate with identical pathogens recovered from other units in the same hospital, and hence, the general hospital antibiogram cannot be relied upon for guiding empirical antimicrobial therapeutic decisions in burn unit patients (124, 313). Liaison between plastic surgeons, infectious disease physicians, and clinical microbiologists is essential to facilitate the development of burn unit-specific empirical treatment algorithms based on an updated yearly antibiogram data and outcome analyses.

**Other Types of Infection**

Nonbacterial causes of invasive burn wound infection have increasingly been recognized over the last two decades as important determinants of morbidity and mortality in severely burned patients.

**Fungal infections.** Invasive burn wound infections due to *Candida* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., and other opportunistic fungi (including *Alternaria* spp., *Fusarium* spp., *Rhizopus* spp., and *Mucor* spp.) are important emerging causes of late-onset morbidity and mortality in patients with major burns and severely perturbed immune systems (37, 63, 141, 300–303, 314, 345, 406, 416–418, 456). Thermally injured children are at particular risk for fungal infections involving the burn wound (262). Such infections usually occur after the second week of thermal injury, usually following a period of burn wound colonization with fungi found in the surrounding environment and/or, in the case of *Candida* spp., from the patient’s own gastrointestinal or upper respiratory tract flora (37, 63, 301, 303).

Infections are most commonly due to *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. and generally appear as a darkening of the burn wound area, with an appearance similar to that of eczema gangrenosum (37, 105, 302, 418). Burn wound infections due to *zygomycetes* such as *Rhizopus* spp. and *Mucor* spp., although very rare, are important because of their remarkable ability to spread rapidly across fascial tissue planes and to invade the vasculature and are associated with a very high mortality rate (65, 424). Diagnosis of fungal infections of burn wounds is most reliably made by histological examination of burn wound tissue samples along with culture of the biopsy sample. Aggressive wide surgical excision of the infected areas of the burn wound before the development of deep invasion, combined with systemic antifungal agents, may lead to an improved chance of survival (65, 105, 141, 424). The use of a laminar-airflow isolation room, in conjunction with optimal local wound care, appears to decrease the incidence of both disseminated and local fungal infection (103, 303). Topical prophylaxis with an antifungal cream such as clotrimazole or nystatin may lead to a reduced incidence of burn wound infections and sepsis (31, 189, 192).

**Viral infections.** Viruses of the herpesvirus group, particularly herpes simplex virus and varicella-zoster virus but less commonly cytomegalovirus, are rarely reported but increasingly recognized causes of wound infections in thermally injured patients (50, 143, 149, 226, 278, 345, 346, 389, 392, 404, 432). Such infections may be due to primary viral infection, reactivation of latent virus, or exogenous reinfection in previously infected patients. Burn wound infections due to herpes simplex virus occur most commonly in healing or recently healed partial-thickness burns (50, 54, 149, 226, 278, 389, 392), particularly those in the nasolabial area, or occasionally from skin graft donor sites, while those due to cytomegalovirus typically involve full-thickness burns (22, 226). In the case of herpes simplex virus, clusters of small vesicles or vesiculopustules may be found within or around the margins of the burn wound, usually occurring anywhere from 2 to 6 weeks following thermal injury. Herpetic viral infections of the burn wound are usually self-limited, although systemic spread may occur (226, 389). Diagnosis is most reliably made by histological examination or culture of burn wound biopsy specimens.

**OTHER TYPES OF INFECTION IN BURN PATIENTS**

**Sepsis and Toxic Shock Syndrome**

Most burn-related deaths (54%) in modern burn units occur because of septic shock and organ dysfunction rather than osmotic shock and hypovolemia (47, 78, 140, 272, 365). Bloodstream infection and the subsequent development of sepsis are among the most common infection complications occurring in burn patients in the intensive care unit (378). Sepsis syndrome is clinically heralded by the onset of hypothermia or hyperthermia, hypotension, decreased urinary output, hyperglycemia, neutropenia or neutrophilia, and thrombocytopenia (20, 47, 86, 140, 177). Burn wound sepsis was predominately due to invasive wound infection prior to the advent of early burn wound excision (30, 127, 170, 185, 253, 296, 334, 429).

Teplitz and colleagues (426, 427) studied an experimental model of *Pseudomonas* burn wound sepsis and showed that bacteremia originated from the junction of the burn wound and the unburned hypodermis. Burn patients with sepsis from
invasive burn wound infection have transient or intermittent bacteremia or fungemia from seeding of microorganisms into the bloodstream, but positive blood cultures are a late sign of infection (41, 109, 123, 152, 270, 444). Bacteremia also occurs from endogenous intestinal flora because of the decreased blood flow and gut perfusion that occur following thermal injury (248, 266, 371). Peak endotoxin concentrations have been documented to occur within 12 h after injury (283). Following burn injury in an animal model, potent gut vasoconstrictors, including thromboxane and systemic vasopressin, are released, and their levels increase in parallel in the gut (257, 266, 380). However, preventing gut mucosal atrophy and improving gut mucosal blood flow through early enteral feeding of burn patients have reduced subsequent translocation and endogenous bacteremia (185).

Mason and colleagues (272) performed the largest study of bacteremia and mortality in 5,882 burn patients consecutively admitted to a major burn center over a 25-year period from 1959 to 1983. They developed a predictor of mortality index based on the 75% of patients who did not have bacteremia. Comparison between the observed and predicted levels of mortality in subsets of patients with bacteremia showed that those with gram-negative bacteremia had a significantly increased mortality, whereas those with gram-positive bacteremia had no increase in their attributed mortality. Overall, sepsis resulted in a 21% increase in total mortality in patients with bacteremia due to either gram-negative organisms or yeasts. Most of these deaths (75%) also occurred in patients whose predicted mortality from burn injury in the absence of bacteremia was low.

Although the incidence of invasive wound infection as the primary source for burn wound sepsis has decreased substantially since the advent of early excision therapy, septic shock and multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome remain important causes of death after burn trauma despite immediate admission to an intensive care unit (46, 47, 452). In the last two decades, sepsis in burn patients was more often secondary to catheter-related infection or pneumonia rather than a result of the burn wound itself (94, 165, 347, 359, 393). Fitzwater and colleagues (140) recently studied the risk factors and temporal relationship between the development of multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome and sepsis; 175 adult patients with a >20% TBSA burn injury were studied, and 27% developed severe multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome, while 17% developed complicated sepsis, and almost a quarter of the patients died. Full-thickness burn size, age, and inhalation injury were associated with the development of multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome, sepsis, and death. Infection preceded multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome in 83% of the patients.

Burn patients may also manifest signs of toxic shock syndrome if they become colonized or infected with a strain of Staphylococcus aureus that produces TSST-1 toxin (59, 119, 121, 461). However, differentiating this severe form of toxicosis from systemic inflammatory response syndrome or even the metabolic response to the burn injury itself may be difficult, particularly in the immediate postinjury period. Toxic shock syndrome may be more prevalent in children with burn injury, and the associated mortality can be high, especially if there is a delay in recognition and appropriate management (59). Few toxic shock syndrome cases have been reported from adult burn centers (461). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria should be applied to define cases of toxic shock syndrome (76). Confirmation of the production of TSST-1 toxin can be obtained by sending a patient’s Staphylococcus aureus isolate(s) to a reference laboratory for testing to detect toxin production. Antistaphylococcal antibody titers are not useful in the diagnosis of toxic shock syndrome, since many patients who do not have acute disease will have positive titers (121).

Burn patients with sepsis should be examined immediately to determine the site and source of infection, including inspection of the entire burn wound surface. Diagnostic tests should be done to identify the site and source of infection, including blood, urine, and sputum cultures. Empirical broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy directed at the most recent bacteria isolated from burn wound cultures and other sources should be instituted promptly (86, 108, 307). Patients in the intensive care unit with bloodstream infections may develop severe sepsis if initial empirical antimicrobial treatment provides inadequate activity against the organism causing infection (474). Additional drugs that block a part of the septic cascade may also be administered to burn patients with severe sepsis. Activated protein C appears to hold the most promise for improving outcomes in intensive care unit patients with severe sepsis, but sepsis drug intervention trials have shown divergent results for other agents, including cytokine inhibitors, antiendotoxin, and other naturally occurring anticoagulants (338).

**Pneumonia**

Pulmonary complications are common in burn patients with inhalation lung injury. Burn patients with severe inhalation injury requiring prolonged intubation are also at risk for developing ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) (35, 86, 306, 378, 431, 445, 446). VAP is defined as pneumonia that develops more than 48 h after intubation (e.g., late onset) in a mechanically ventilated patient who had no signs of this complication at the time the endotracheal tube was inserted. The estimated prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in the intensive care unit setting ranges from 10% to 65%, and mortality rates are >25% in most reported studies (60, 89, 228, 466).

The nosocomial bacteria that usually cause VAP tend to be more antibiotic resistant (306). Ramzy and colleagues (356) demonstrated that although the organisms colonizing the burn wound were similar to those recovered from quantitative culture of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples in pediatric burn patients with VAP, quantitative wound culture was not predictive of cross-infection in the lung. Bronchoalveolar lavage with collection of samples from the deep lung should therefore be performed in order to rapidly establish the microbial etiology of the pneumonia (34, 356, 445, 446). Quantitative culture of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples has shown that the presence of a bacterial pathogen(s) at ≥10⁴ CFU/ml of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid is diagnostic of VAP in intensive care unit patients (34, 91, 396).

Invasive pulmonary diagnostic procedures such as bronchoalveolar lavage allow more appropriate use of antibiotic therapy but have not been shown to change the overall mortality from VAP (396). The results of preliminary bronchoalveolar lavage fluid sample tests such as the Gram stain are
unreliable in directing prescription of initial empirical antibiotic therapy (93, 111). Laupland and colleagues (239) recently evaluated the utility of using a rapid bacterial ATP assay to screen bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples from 477 intensive care unit patients with suspected VAP. This rapid screen demonstrated excellent performance compared to quantitative cultures in accurately detecting bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples with significant bacterial counts. This diagnostic strategy may allow physicians to selectively prescribe antibiotics to those with a positive screening assay. Antibiotic therapy for VAP in the burn patient should be tailored to the reported antibiotic susceptibility profile of the primary bacterial pathogen(s) causing the pneumonia (323).

Burn patients may also have pulmonary complications even when the lungs have not sustained direct thermal damage (101, 201). Atelectasis and hypostatic pneumonia are common due to the hyperventilation and decreased lung expansion that occur in patients with large burns, >30% TBSA, due in part to chest wall restriction from circumferential eschar formation (101). Burn patients also have a high risk of repeated aspiration episodes (99, 101), and respiratory therapy with regular suctioning of upper airway secretions and expectoration of sputum in addition to escharotomy are critical to maintaining pulmonary function (261, 275).

Endotracheal or sputum samples should be sent for culture to determine the microbial etiology of bronchopneumonia. A clinical history of burn injury should be provided to the clinical microbiology laboratory on the requisition. Endotracheal and sputum samples are initially microscopically screened for contamination by saliva (e.g., presence of >25 epithelial cells per high-power field) to determine if the specimen has been adequately collected (33). All potential pathogens isolated from an adequately collected sputum sample from a burn patient should be identified and tested for antibiotic susceptibility (307). The culture results from sputum samples should be correlated to those from the burn wound since there is often cross-colonization between the burn wound and the tracheobronchial tree (356).

Although hematogenous pneumonia is a much less common complication in burn patients today than in prior decades, it is nevertheless a serious complication that is largely preventable. Blood cultures should be drawn prior to the start of antibiotic therapy in burn patients with fever and suspected pneumonia in order to document bacteremia (86). The occurrence of transient bacteremia associated with manipulation of the burn wound has been well documented (304, 379). The bacteremia occurrence rate ranges from 1.6 to 60% of severe burn cases, with the risk being proportional to the extent of the burn and the duration and intensity of manipulation (304). However, the incidence of bacteremia after burn wound manipulation has been substantially reduced by early excision therapy (30, 170, 185, 253).

The source of infection in bacteremic burn patients must be rapidly identified and eradicated to prevent secondary seeding of the lungs and other deep tissues through sustained high-grade circulation of microorganisms in the blood (86). Appropriate empirical systemic antibiotic therapy should be directed against the organisms recovered from recent cultures of the burn wound surface and other sources such as sputum, urine, and blood samples. Antibiotic regimens should be altered as necessary based on the results of the antibiotic susceptibility profile(s) of the isolate(s) recovered from the patient’s blood cultures (307). Sustained high-grade bacteremia without an obvious focus of infection should be attributed to endocarditic or endovascular infection and treated as such.

### Urinary Tract Infections

Burn patients may develop a urinary tract infection in association with prolonged bladder catheterization. Patients will develop significant bacteriuria after 72 h of urinary catheter insertion, so these devices should be removed after the initial period of fluid resuscitation and output monitoring (156, 172, 450, 468). Recent use of silver-impregnated Foley catheters in burn patients showed promise in reducing the incidence of urinary tract infections compared to that in patients with standard Foley catheters for a similar period of time (321).

In order to minimize contamination, urine samples for culture should not be collected from the drainage bag, but should be aspirated through the rubber catheter using a large-bore needle. Immediate removal of the urine catheter and institution of an appropriate antibiotic(s) based upon the latest urine culture report should be used to treat urinary tract infections. Candiduria may represent contamination of the urine from the periurethral area or vaginal area in women. However, repeated isolation of Candida spp. from urine samples in the burn patient should not be ignored because it may signal that the patient has candidiasis as a sign of disseminated candidiasis (213, 316). Antifungal therapy should be instituted immediately in burn patients with an active or disseminated infection due to Candida spp. While Candida albicans and most non-C. albicans Candida spp. currently remain susceptible to fluconazole, one of the newer antifungal agents, either caspofungin or voriconazole, may be required to treat serious infections due to Candida glabrata and Candida krusei because they are typically resistant to fluconazole (19, 234, 240, 277). Most Candida spp. currently remain susceptible to amphotericin B.

### Catheter Infections and Suppurative Thrombophlebitis

Burn patients are particularly susceptible to the complications associated with insertion of intravenous and intra-arterial catheters and lines, particularly infection. Catheter-associated infections have been reported to affect from 8 to 57% of burn patients (144, 245). Infected peripheral and central catheters are a significant source of sepsis in the burn patient (86, 165, 263, 347, 359, 393, 450). Suppurative thrombophlebitis may also be diagnosed in 5 to 10% of hospitalized burn patients with severe burn injury, >20% TBSA, and the mortality reaches 60% even in those who receive prompt treatment (58, 165, 328, 348, 359, 410, 443).

Suppurative thrombophlebitis should be suspected in burn patients who have high-grade bacteremia without an obvious focus of infection, including endocarditis. Suppurative thrombophlebitis serves as a source of bacteremia, sepsis syndrome, and the seeding of other deep organ tissues (i.e., endocarditis and brain abscesses) (359). Since only a third of cases have local signs of infection over the affected vein, a diagnosis of suppurative thrombophlebitis is difficult to make (165, 328, 348, 410). An infected vein may only be identified through...
sequential venotomy and examination of veins for intraluminal pus by vein wall biopsy for histological examination for bacterial colonization of the intimal surface. Careful nursing records must therefore be kept about sequential peripheral and central intravenous catheter locations.

Burn patients have a high frequency of peripheral intravenous and central line-related infections for a number of reasons, including a prolonged need for intravenous infusion, frequent hyperalimentation fluids, frequent episodes of bacteremia secondary to wound manipulation, a high density of surface microorganisms, and the impairment of host defenses due to the burn injury (144, 245). A recent study of burn patients demonstrated a high correlation between the microorganisms cultured from the catheter tip and hubs within 48 h of insertion, and the incidence of catheter-associated infection was inversely proportional to the distance of the line insertion site from the burn wound (354). Catheter infections in burn patients likely arise from the adherence and migration of burn wound flora microorganisms along the catheter surface to the tip, with the creation of a thick biofilm (326, 378, 419, 421). Although peripheral and central catheters should be inserted through an area of normal skin in order to minimize the development of infection, this may not be possible in patients with severe burns. It may also be necessary to cut down into a usable vein or artery through the burn wound itself in burn patients with extensive injuries to a large area of the cutaneous surface.

Rates of catheter-associated infection can nevertheless be decreased with the use of rigorous aseptic technique during the insertion procedure, the use of Teflon catheters, change of the peripheral intravenous equipment, and rotation of the peripheral catheter site every 72 h (263, 264). In addition, catheters impregnated with either antibiotics or antiseptic (chlorohexidine and silver sulfadiazine) may decrease the incidence of central catheter infection in burn patients, although comparative studies are needed (370). New catheters impregnated with nanocrystalline silver show decreased microbial colonization, but there have been no studies of the use of these devices in burn patients (375).

Treatment of suppurative thrombophlebitis requires prompt institution of empirical systemic antibiotic therapy directed against the burn wound flora and operative excision of the infected vein or vein segment. However, these measures are adjunctive to prompt removal of the infected line and relocation of a clean device at another site in a healthy noninfected vein (328, 348, 410).

**Myonecrosis**

Burn patients may sustain deep tissue injuries beneath the cutaneous layers that provide a focus for infection to develop. Muscle necrosis and pyomyositis occur in thermally injured extremities with circumferential full-thickness injury due to vascular compromise. These serious infections may be difficult to diagnose in limbs that are already edematous and painful due to the thermal injury. In particular, direct electrical contact results in deep muscular necrosis and delayed infection (180, 232, 352). Effective treatment of these serious infections requires prompt surgical excision of the affected deep tissues involved along with institution of broad-spectrum antibiotics against aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms (300, 308).

**PREVENTION OF BURN WOUND INFECTIONS**

Several studies have demonstrated the role of topical antimicrobials in decreasing morbidity and mortality in patients with major burn injuries (partial- or full-thickness skin involvement), particularly before early excision (189, 298, 307). A recent study conducted by the U.S. Army Burn Center compared the levels of mortality of adult patients according to age and burn size before (1950 to 1963) and after (1964 to 1968) the introduction of mafenide acetate topical antibiotic therapy (62). Use of mafenide acetate was associated with a greater than 10% reduction in mortality for those with burns of 40 to 79% TBSA, but its use had only a minimal effect on mortality in patients with smaller or much larger burn injuries.

The efficacy of various topical antimicrobials in common use in modern burn centers is dynamic due to the ability of microorganisms to develop resistance rapidly (6). The sustained potency of individual agents depends on the extent of use and the resident nosocomial flora within any specialized burn center. In order to accurately detect and track emerging trends in topical antimicrobial resistance in modern burn units, it is essential that standard reproducible methods be published for clinical implementation (see Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing, above).

**Topical Antimicrobial Therapy**

Widespread application of an effective topical antimicrobial agent substantially reduces the microbial load on the open burn wound surface and reduces the risk of infection (189, 298, 307). By controlling infection, effective topical antimicrobial therapy decreases the conversion of partial-thickness to full-thickness wounds, but its use is adjunctive to early excision therapy. Selection of topical antimicrobial therapy should be based on the agent’s ability to inhibit the microorganisms recovered from burn wound surveillance cultures and monitoring of the nosocomial infections acquired in the burn unit. Prescription is also based on the individual preparation of the topical agent (e.g., ointment or cream versus solution or dressing) and its pharmacokinetic properties. Burn units may rotate the use of various topical antimicrobial preparations on a regular basis to decrease the potential for development of antibiotic resistance (6, 124, 313). Topical antibiotic agents should first be applied directly to the patient’s dressings before application to the burn wound to prevent contamination of the agent’s container by burn wound flora.

Table 4 outlines the most widely used topical antimicrobial agents and new silver nanocrystalline dressings that are based on the bactericidal properties of the silver ion (134, 189, 298). The inhibitory action of silver is due to its strong interaction with thiol groups present in the respiratory enzymes in the bacterial cell (237, 238). Silver has also been shown to interact with structural proteins and preferentially bind with DNA nucleic acid bases to inhibit replication (236, 237). For this reason, silver has recently been shown to be highly toxic to keratinocytes and fibroblasts and may delay burn wound healing if applied indiscriminately to debrided healing tissue areas (53,
TABLE 4. Profile of commonly used topical antimicrobial agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topical agent</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Eschar penetration</th>
<th>Antibacterial activity</th>
<th>Major toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver nitrate (AgNO₃)</td>
<td>0.5% solution</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Bacteriostatic against aerobic gram-negative bacilli, <em>P. aeruginosa</em>, limited antifungal</td>
<td>Electrolyte imbalance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver sulfadiazine (Silsodene, Flamazine, Thermazine, Burnazine)</td>
<td>1% water-soluble cream (oil-in-water emulsion)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Bactericidal against aerobic gram-negative bacilli, <em>P. aeruginosa</em>, some <em>C. albicans</em></td>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mafenide acetate (Sulfamylon)</td>
<td>10% water-soluble cream (oil-in-water emulsion), 5% solution</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>Broad spectrum against aerobic gram-negative bacilli, <em>P. aeruginosa</em>, anaerobes</td>
<td>Metabolic acidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocrystalline silver dressings (Acticoat A.B. dressing, Silverlon)</td>
<td>Dressing consisting of two sheets of high-density polyethylene mesh coated with nanocrystalline silver</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Potent activity against aerobic gram-negative bacilli, <em>P. aeruginosa</em>, aerobic gram-positive bacilli, MRSA, VRE, multidrug-resistant <em>Enterobacteriaceae</em></td>
<td>Limited toxicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are from references 134, 189, and 298.

90, 236). Moist exposure therapy using a moisture-retentive ointment (MEBO-Julphar; Gulf Pharmaceutical Industries, United Arab Emirates) has recently been shown to act as an effective antibacterial agent while promoting rapid autolysis debridement and optimal moist wound healing in partial-thickness injury (13, 16). Moisture-retentive ointment also resulted in earlier recovery of keratinocytes with improved wound healing and decreased scar formation (14). The topical antimicrobial agents reviewed are primarily used in burn center patients with full-thickness or deep partial-thickness burn wounds.

**Silver nitrate.** Silver nitrate is rarely used nowadays in modern burn units because the deposition of silver discolors the wound bed and other topical agents are available that are easier to use and have less potential toxicity. Silver nitrate is most effective before the burn wound becomes colonized. The burn wound needs to be cleansed of emollients and other debris before a multilayered dressing is applied to the burn wound and subsequently saturated with silver nitrate solution. Effective use of this preparation therefore requires continuous application with secondary occlusive dressings, making examination of the wound difficult. The silver ion in AgNO₃ also quickly binds to elemental chlorine ions, so that repeated or large-surface application of this solution may lead to electrolyte imbalance (e.g., hypotremia and hypochloremia) (189, 298). Silver nitrate antibacterial activity is limited to the burn wound surface (204, 409). This agent demonstrates bacteriostatic activity against gram-negative aerobic bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*, but it is not active against other genera, including *Klebsiella, Providencia*, and *Enterobacter* (189, 260). Silver nitrate also has limited antifungal activity, so that nystatin should be used concomitantly (192, 467).

**Silver sulfadiazine.** Silver sulfadiazine is the most commonly used topical antibiotic agent for both ambulatory and hospitalized burn patients. This agent is a combination of sodium sulfadiazine and silver nitrate. The silver ion binds to the microorganism’s nucleic acid, releasing the sulfadiazine, which then interferes with the metabolism of the microbe (237). It is easy to use and painless when applied and can be used with or without a dressing. Limited systemic toxicity with repeated daily or twice-daily application has occurred aside from the development of leukopenia (81, 147). Silver sulfadiazine has excellent broad-spectrum antibacterial coverage against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other gram-negative enteric bacteria, although some resistance has recently been reported (189, 335). This agent also has some activity against *Candida albicans*, but enhanced antifungal activity can be achieved by using nystatin in combination with silver sulfadiazine (192, 467).

Although silver sulfadiazine dissociates more slowly than silver nitrate, there is still poor penetration into the wound (204, 409). Silver sulfadiazine is only absorbed within the surface epidermal layer, which limits its effectiveness in some patients with severe injuries. In Europe, a combination of cerium nitrate and silver sulfadiazine (Flammacerium; Solvay Duphar, The Netherlands) has been used to combat this problem (153, 154). Flammacerium has been shown to reduce the inflammatory response to burn injury, decrease bacterial colonization, and provide a firm eschar for easier wound management (154).

**Mafenide acetate.** Topical mafenide acetate cream allows open burn wound therapy and regular examination of the burn wound surface because it is used without dressings. The burn wound surface is cleansed of debris prior to application of the cream, and the treated burn wound surface is left exposed after the cream is applied for maximal antimicrobial effect. Mafenide acetate is applied a minimum of twice daily and has been shown to penetrate the burn eschar (409). The 5% solution must be applied to saturate gauze dressings, and these need to be changed every 8 hours for maximal effect. Mafenide acetate solution appears to be as effective as the cream preparation when used in this way (135, 189).

Mafenide acetate (Sulfamylon) cream has a broad spectrum of activity against gram-negative bacteria, particularly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, but has little activity against gram-positive aerobic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (189). This agent also inhibits anaerobes such as *Clostridium* spp. Because protracted use of mafenide acetate favors the overgrowth of
Candida albicans and other fungi, this agent should be used in combination with nystatin to prevent this complication due to its limited antifungal activity (192, 467).

Despite its antibacterial potency, mafenide acetate is not as widely used as other agents because of its toxicity profile. This compound is converted to p-sulfamylvanzoic acid by monoamid oxidase, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, causing metabolic acidosis in the burn patient (189, 298). In burn patients with inhalation injury and a concomitant respiratory acidosis, the use of mafenide acetate over a large burn surface area or the repeated application of this compound can be fatal. Mafenide acetate also decreases the breaking strength of healed wounds and delays healing (53).

Acticoat A.B. dressing/Silverlon. This product is a specialized dressing that consists of two sheets of high-density polyethylene mesh coated with nanocrystalline silver (e.g., ionic silver with a rayon-polyester core) (112, 435, 472). The more controlled and prolonged release of nanocrystalline silver to the burn wound area allows less-frequent dressing changes, reducing the risk of tissue damage, nosocomial infection, patient discomfort, and the overall cost of topical therapy (112, 188). Nanocrystalline dressings may also provide better penetration of unexcised burn wounds because of their prolonged mechanism of action. Acticoat A.B. dressing with SILCRYST™ (Smith & Nephew Wound Management, Largo, FL), Silverlon (Argentum Medical, L.L.C., Lakemont, GA), and Silvasorb (Medline Industries Inc., Mundelein, IL) provides the most comprehensive broad-spectrum bactericidal coverage against important burn wound pathogens of any topical antimicrobial preparation currently marketed (112, 188). These dressings have potent antibacterial activity against most aerobic gram-negatives, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa and antibiotic-resistant members of the family Enterobacteriaceae as well as aerobic gram-positive bacteria, including MRSA and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (112, 188, 472). If the burn wound surface has minimal exudates, these specialized dressings can remain in place for several days and retain antibacterial activity (188). This approach is replacing the use of other silver-based topical antibiotics in many burn centers.

Mupirocin (Bactroban). Mupirocin (pseudomonic acid A) is a fermentation product of Pseudomonas fluorescens (189, 330). This antibiotic has potent inhibitory activity against gram-positive skin flora such as coagulase-negative staphylococci and Staphylococcus aureus, including MRSA (287, 366, 367, 420). Although primarily marketed for nasal decontamination, mupirocin has increasingly been used as a burn wound topical agent in burn units in North America, where MRSA has become a problem (126, 287). Mupirocin is currently not licensed in Europe for use as a topical burn wound agent. Various topical antibiotic preparations, including 1% silver sulfadiazine, 2% mupirocin, and 2% fusidic acid, were recently compared for their antibacterial effect in an MRSA-infected full-thickness rat burn model (2). All of these agents were found to be equally effective against MRSA in reducing local burn wound bacterial counts and preventing systemic infection. Burn centers where MRSA is a problem may therefore rotate the use of topical mupirocin in combination with these other agents in order to decrease the development of resistance.

Nystatin. Nystatin (Mycostatin or Nilstat) is produced by Streptomyces noursei and has potent antifungal effect by binding to the sterols in the fungal cell membrane (189, 330). A lower concentration (3 µg/ml) of this agent inhibits Candida albicans, but a higher concentration (6.25 µg/ml) is needed to inhibit other Candida spp. and fungi (19, 302). A recent study of nystatin powder at a concentration of 6 million units/g showed that this approach was effective in treating four burn patients with severe angioinvasive fungal infections due to either Aspergillus or Fusarium spp. (31). Both superficial and deep-tissue burn wound infections were eradicated using nystatin powder without any other interventions or adverse effects on wound healing (31, 302). However, since nystatin has no activity against bacteria, it should be used in combination with a topical agent that has activity against the broad spectrum of pathogenic bacteria that cause burn wound colonization and infection (189).

Other topical antimicrobials. Several other topical antimicrobials have also been used for topical burn therapy, including gentamicin sulfate (0.1% water-soluble cream), betadine (10% povidone-iodine ointment), bacitracin-polymyxin ointment, and nitrofurantoin (189, 330). However, these compounds are no longer used extensively because significant resistance has developed and/or they have been shown to be toxic or ineffective at controlling localized burn wound infections. Topical bacitracin-polymyxin is primarily used as a nonadherent, nontoxic petrolatum-based ointment for skin graft dressings and for dressing partial-thickness burn wounds, particularly in children (330).

Prophylactic Systemic Antibiotics

Studies of the clinical benefit of prophylactic courses of systemic antibiotics in burn patients in decreasing the occurrence of burn wound infections have not demonstrated improved outcome compared to the use of topical therapy along with surgical excision. In a recent study of pediatric burn patients, the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis was studied in 77 children; 47 children received prophylactic antibiotics, while the rest received no prophylaxis (128). Children in both groups with wound colonization and infection had a larger burn injury, but the administration of antibiotics did not prevent the development of burn wound infection. The group of children that received prophylactic antibiotics had a higher burn wound infection rate (21.3% versus 16.7%, P < 0.05) (128). Most of the children who developed sepsis were also on prophylactic antibiotics. Use of prophylactic antibiotics also promoted the development of other secondary infections (i.e., upper and lower respiratory tract and urinary tract infections and otitis media). Overall length of hospital stay was also prolonged in the children receiving prophylactic antibiotics.

Administration of systemic antibiotic therapy may also cause antibiotic-associated diarrhea due to the overgrowth of toxigenic strains of Clostridium difficile (176, 404, 415). Exposure to prophylactic antibiotic therapy may also increase the resistance of endogenous and pathogenic bacteria to a wide variety of antibiotics, making the subsequent treatment of clinically overt infections in the burn patient more difficult (6, 307).

Systemic antibiotic administration in burn patients should therefore only be used selectively and for a short period of time. Because of the secondary bacteremia associated with prolonged burn wound manipulation and/or excision, prophylactic systemic antibiotic therapy may be given immediately...
before, during, and for one or two doses after the procedure, particularly in burn patients with extensive injury (e.g., 40% TBSA) (304). Culture-specific laboratory information obtained from bacterial culture and susceptibility results for the burn wound and other sources (i.e., blood, urine, and respiratory cultures) should be used to guide the selection of effective antimicrobial agents for use as preoperative prophylaxis as well as treatment of overt clinical infections (307).

Selective Bowel Decontamination

The relationship between the translocation of bowel microflora due to increased intestinal permeability and the subsequent colonization of the burn wound by enteric gram-negative microorganisms has been well described (168, 257). Several studies compared the ability of oral prophylactic antibiotic regimens to selectively decontaminate the normal bowel flora in burn patients, thereby reducing burn wound colonization and infection (106, 220, 221, 267, 269). Jarrett and colleagues (220, 221) showed that burn wound colonization was delayed and the rate of infection was reduced in patients who received an oral bowel flora-suppressive antibiotic regimen (neomycin, erythromycin, and nystatin). However, this broad-spectrum decontamination regimen not only suppressed aerobic gram-negative bacteria but also destroyed the anaerobic flora that is important in host “colonization resistance.” Manson and colleagues (267, 269) later showed that selective bowel decontamination using oral polymyxin either alone or in combination with oral trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and/or amphotericin B prevented burn wound colonization. Selective oral bowel decontamination therapy, however, was never widely adopted as routine therapy and became unnecessary with the advent of early-excision burn wound therapy.

Recent studies have shown that early enteral feeding in combination with excision of the burn wound in severely burn-injured children improved their clinical recovery and outcome. Hart and colleagues (185) found that early enteral feeding diminished the incidence of wound colonization and infection by bowel flora and sepsis but did not accelerate the hypermetabolic state induced by the burn injury. Early enteral feeding is likely effective because it increases circulation to the bowel, thereby decreasing ischemia postinjury and the translocation of bowel flora.

Prevention of Tetanus

Since thermal injury creates an open dirty wound, burn patients are prone to develop tetanus (45, 116, 394). Burn centers routinely administer human tetanus immunoglobulin (250 to 500 IU) to provide immediate passive immunization regardless of the patient’s active immunization status. Active immunization with tetanus toxoid is also given (0.5 ml intramuscularly) to burn patients who have not received a complete primary immunizing series or who have not received a tetanus toxoid booster within the past 10 years (45, 116). For children <7 years of age, a trivalent diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine is administered, while adults are given tetanus-diphtheria vaccine. Patients who have never been immunized against tetanus or who have been partially immunized should also receive subsequent doses of tetanus vaccine to ensure antibody levels are protective (45, 116).

Infection Control in the Burn Unit

Modern burn centers have a contained perimeter that is designed to minimize the unnecessary traffic of health care workers and visitors alike through the unit (36, 191, 202, 449). Cross-contamination is further diminished within the unit by housing burn patients in individual nursing units composed of individual isolation rooms, each with its own laminar airflow (103, 449). Nosocomial outbreaks due to antibiotic-resistant organisms have been described in modern burn units because critically ill burn patients and equipment had to be moved between the burn unit and the trauma intensive care unit (36). Modern burn unit designs should allow all intensive and burn care procedures, including ventilation and operative procedures, to be done within the burn center itself, or, as a minimum, the facility design should minimize the need to transfer patients out of the burn unit for different aspects of their care. A recent study showed that the rate of cross-colonization with resistant organisms in 66 critically ill children with severe burns and inhalation injury on ventilator support during a 5-year period was extremely low (3.2 cases per 1,000 patient-days) in such a facility (451).

Modern infection control practice has been effective in reducing or eliminating endemic pathogenic and/or antibiotic-resistant organisms, preventing the establishment of newly introduced pathogenic and/or antibiotic-resistant organisms as the predominant nosocomial flora of the burn unit, and preventing reseeding of such strains back into the burn unit from patients housed in the adjacent convalescent ward (284, 449). The infection control program for burn centers requires strict compliance with a number of environmental control measures that include strictly enforced hand washing and the universal use of personal protective equipment (i.e., gowns, gloves, and masks) (284, 449). Health care personnel must be gowned (including use of disposable or reusable gowns and disposable plastic aprons to prevent soiling of health care workers’ clothing during wound care procedures) and gloved at each entry to the burn patient’s isolation room. Monitoring and diagnostic equipment is housed in each burn patient’s room to prevent cross-contamination between patients. All equipment in the isolation room must be regularly cleaned with appropriate disinfectants. Procedures that may predispose burn patients to cross-contamination, such as exposure hydrotherapy, are kept to a minimum (126, 436, 449). Most burn wound care in units practicing early excision therapy is now performed at the patient’s bedside.

Cohort nursing care is another important component of environmental control that is utilized in the burn unit (71, 428). Nurses and other health care personnel are assigned to care for a specific patient or group of patients as a team, and the movements of assigned personnel between patients are strictly limited. Convalescent burn patients are also separated from those with an acute injury because they represent a reservoir for more antibiotic-resistant organisms that may have been acquired during a prolonged hospital stay. Admission surveillance cultures are also done to screen burn patients for colonization by antibiotic-resistant organisms (e.g., MRSA and
vancomycin-resistant enterococci). Patients who are colonized on admission or who acquire an antibiotic-resistant organism during their burn unit stay are physically isolated from other burn unit patients.

Infection control practitioners also play an integral part in any burn center’s prevention program. Burn wound infections should be rigorously monitored according to the standard definitions previously provided (see Classification of Burn Wound Infections, above) in order to generate accurate epidemiological data about infection rates. Routine surveillance should also be carried out for other types of nosocomial infections commonly diagnosed in burn patients, including catheter-related infections, pneumonia, and urinary tract infections. In all cases, published standard definitions should be used in identifying these types of infection complications (157, 158). Laboratory surveillance cultures (e.g., culture of nasal, rectal, or groin swabs for MRSA and culture of rectal swabs for vancomycin-resistant enterococci) as well as routine microbial surveillance cultures of the burn wound and other sources (i.e., blood, respiratory, and urine samples) should be monitored to rapidly identify epidemic pathogens and/or antibiotic-resistant strains so that control measures can be immediately implemented (6, 124). Antibiotic utilization should be rotated or changed based on monitoring of antibiotic resistance trends (e.g., antibiograms) within individual burn centers (3). Finally, adverse outcomes, including morbidity and mortality due to burn wound infection, sepsis, or another nosocomial infection complication, should be monitored in burn patients according to the extent of burn injury in order to assess the effectiveness of existing infection control practices within the institute’s modern burn therapy program.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN MICROBIAL BURN WOUND SURVEILLANCE

Infection control programs need to document and report burn wound infections according to the recently established definitions of the classification system. Future studies of burn wound infections should use this standardized burn wound classification system so that clinical outcomes can be compared for burn patients with a specific condition (e.g., burn wound cellulitis) (273, 331). More research is required to determine the best methods for sampling excised and unexcised burn wound areas over the course of a severe deep partial-thickness and/or full-thickness injury. Reproducible standardized methods should be developed so that clinical microbiology laboratories can routinely test burn wound bacterial isolates for susceptibility to the topical antimicrobial agents on formulary at a particular burn center. A rotation program for topical antimicrobial use may also retard the development of resistance. Laboratory surveillance should include the reporting of burn unit-specific antibiograms for topical antimicrobial agents once standardized methods are available for performing susceptibility testing.
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